Jak.

The Mew Afficiency Report

by It Col Robert C Storey

From: Army, August 1961

The Army has adopted a new officer efficiency reporting system. The new form (IA 67-5) and new Army negulations (AR 623-105) are being distributed. An Officers' Call pamphlet on the new system will be available soon. This these official publications describe the new system and its procedures, they do not deal extensively with the basic concepts of efficiency reporting.

All officers—rated and raters—are sore than a little interested in a centralized evaluation system that plays so important a part in their professional lives. Every competent officer wants to be rated fairly and equitably, and usually develops a similar attitude towards his responsibility for rating the performance of others.

The new system emerged partly out of hundreds of such discussions of a more formal kind, over the past several years. It is safe to say that every known theory and system of evaluating performance, every reasonable combination of rating methods, every strong and weak feature of the old system, and every concept that might possibly have value in the new, has been a subject of study and purposeful decision.

Every important question about efficiency reporting has several possible answers of equal or at least comparable validity. I shall attempt to spotlight the thinking that lcd to the many decisions concerning the new system's form and substance.

GHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF AN ESPICIENCY REPORTING SYSTEM?

top management by qualitatively differentiating between officers as a basis for personnel actions. In both government and industry, efficiency reporting systems are concerned largely with counseling of employees. The objectives of counseling are to help the individual improve his performance in his current position and to advise him on future growth and correct development.

the Army maintains that the efficiency report must meet its requirements for information indicative of each officer's progressive development and his worth, compared to those of his contemporaries. This information becomes a sound basis for competitive personnel actions. Then incorporated into the whole record it becomes a qualitative measure of an officer. Specifically, department of the

Army boards, the Officers Assignment Directorate, and the personnel management agencies of the arms and services rely upon these data to establish a basis for promotion, selective schooling, retention or release.

To insure accomplishment of the privary objective of the efficlency report, the Army separates the counseling function from the evaluation function. This does not mean that counseling is less important; rather, the new system puts heavier emphasis on it.

HOW FREQUESTLY SHOULD OFFICERS HE RATED?

An efficiency report should be rendered when enough information has been gained about an officer's performance on which to base a valid and reliable evaluation. Reports should be frequent enough to give personnel agencies current information on which to base their actions. Accordingly, the Army accepts a report after an officer has been 60 days on an assignment, and requires a report at least once a year. The current average is two reports annually.

THEM SHOULD REPORTS BE PARPARED?

At one time, efficiency reports on all officers were prepared during the same period. However, it was found that staggering the subdission dates by rank reduced and distributed the administrative load of processing. The new system continues the practice of requiring reports on a staggered schedule, beginning with warrant officers on 30 September, lieutenants on 31 October, and so on through the report cycle.

MOTO TAKE SPECYTEEN DONES?

A case can be made for different forms for warrant, company, and field grade officers. The jobs of officers in these groups are dissimilar in several respects, and might be more readily described on forms designed for specific degrees of age and experience. On the other hand, a single universal form facilitates comparison of an officer's performance from one period to another. The new system retains the single form which is sufficiently adaptable to reflect all types and degrees of driv performance for all components.

VIEW CLEVIS ONLY

Army officers are so accustomed to being rated by the chain of command that it may come as a surprise to learn that there are other systems. A self-rating system has some merit, particularly if counseling is the main objective. Peer-rating systems have been quite successful in certain circumstances. In fact, if a procedure could be developed whereby an officer could not benefit or penalize himself

by rating his peers, such a system might be entirely feasible for Army use.

In industry, a committee is frequently formed to make the evaluation, with a personnel representative or a professional counselor as a member. The Army has long considered the immediate senior officer or supervisor to be best able to measure a person's performance. Any other system, no matter how valid and acceptable, would depart from the principle of military command.

WHAT MATING TETHOD SHOULD DE USER?

The narrative-type performance description, while very useful and practical, has serious weaknesses for an officer corps of 100,000. Marrative measures indicate only very roughly how one man stands in relation to others. Another difficulty is that the adjectives common to narrative descriptions do not mean the same things to all raters. Even when standard nomenclature is used, one rater has higher standards than another. On the other hand, the use of scales that produce a "number" or a "score" suggests a degree of precision that is beyond the reach of the social scientist. The "forced-choice" method sought to eliminate weaknesses of earlier systems such as bias, halo-effect, and leniency, but inadequate instruction of the officer corps in its use led to its rejection. The Army's solution is to employ a combination of rating methods—excluding the forced-choice. The new system, like the old, combines narrative description with several rating scales.

HOW MANY BATTEM OFFICIALS?

For many years the Army relied on a rater and an indorser. Form 67-h, adopted in 1956, added a reviewing officer as an integral part of the system. The utilization of a reviewing officer has proved to be effective. In the new system he has even greater responsibility to act in the interests of the Army and the rated officer.

HOW WEIGH THE OPINIOUS OF RATING OFFICIALS?

A highly debatable point. Some say the rater's evaluation should be given greater weight because he is closest to the rated officer. Others feel that the inderser's maturity, judgment and perspective should be given greater weight. Each situation is different, and provides argument for either view. There are no extensive research data to support one view against the other. Accordingly, the new system continues the current practice of giving equal weight for rater and inderser.

In theory, it may be desirable to discount as indorser's appraisal if he is statloadd, say, 500 miles from the rated officer,

with few opportunities to observe his performance. Similarly, it may be undesirable to give the same unifit to one rating official who has observed the rated officer for a full year that we give to another who has done so for only 61 days. If has been proposed that reports be weighted according to the grade of the rating official—the higher his rank, the greater weight to his evaluations. Until we arrive at a more refined and highly developed system, however, these theoretical advantages cannot be exploited.

ACAL ST VMG . SHOWLD THE MATTE HA COMPAREDY

Obviously, a rated officer cannot be validly compared against all others. No rating official could know them all; nor one a lieutement be compared fairly with a colonel.

The new system continues the practice of comparing the rated officer with others of his grade and branch who have similar experience, service schooling, and time in grade. This matter of comparisons is related to a new feriouse on the new report form: the expected distribution of ratings per 100 officers. The new regulation warns against interpreting this as a required propping of ratings avaided in a unit or agency. It is an Army-wide "Forecast" that soldow spolies to local conditions.

SHOUTED WARRING WILLERS FO ASSET FOR THE PROPERTY HER MEARING TATHERS

We have long recognized that one of the basic hurdles of officiency reporting is that there are so many "standards" as there are raters. This is the main reason why some officers are known as "hard" raters and others as "easy." Both groups are equally sincer; and conscientions, but have varying standards. After considerable study, the army concluded that the personal differences among indersers and reviewers are sufficient to affect the necessary balance.

SEQUED TWO MARKET LEG ON THE REPORT IN COURS?

Videspread objection to the current rating system was based on the precise that rating officials do not know where they are placing the rated officer in comparison with others. Until now, the numerical values of the secred sections of the efficiency report have not been known to the field. Beither have raters known the actual or the relative value of the ratings they award.

It was decided that, under the new system, rating officials would know the scoring values of their ratings. This decision automatically meant that the relative scoring method gave way to so absolute system. Under an absolute system the rating official assigns a rating to each asberochate which remains unchanged after processing at the Pentscon. In an absolute system recovery in conscensory since the rating to determined by the rating official and not the Penartment of the Amay.

The new system honors the desire of rating officials to know "where they are placing a man." Selection and promotion bosrds will see the score the rator himself entered in the report.

WHICH PARTS OF THE REPORT ARE MOST INFORCATOR

For many years the practice of evaluating traits, qualities, and characteristics has been reparted as a key element. The idea seemed to be that if we can identify essential leadership traits, it should be simple to evaluate those individual attributes. Actually, this is not simple at all. First, experts are far from unanimous as to the characteristics of a good leader. Everyone can compile a list of essential attributes which will be unlike anyone elects. Second, research has proved that if you like a person, you tend to rate him high on the traditionally desirable traits. If you dislike him, these same traits are shoot sure to seem less desirable to you. Corrent literature on performance appraisal emphasizes the devaluation of lists of indefinable subjective characteristics. The new report form has a "traits" section, but its scoring weight is relatively light.

Another criterion that has been highly regarded is the estimate of potential. One of the Army's most important peacetime functions is that of being prepared to provide the leadership essential for war. Accordingly, estimate of potential has been an important perpose of efficiency reporting. The trouble is that rating officials have been asked to predict future performance with little ground on which to base such a ferecast. For example, a company commander of a 2I bactical unit may be asked to predict an officer's performance with a foreign government. At one time the Army asked for a prediction of performance two grades above the officer's current rank. Unfortunately, such judgments cannot be based on sound and definable standards. They are highly unreliable, because people are not objective judges and because a person's potential can increase or diminish, for a variety of unpredictable reasons.

The purpose of the efficiency report is to give the Department of the Army a picture of the rated officer as he was seen during a certain period. The picture should record the officer's performance and behavior, and those relevant facts about his personality and character that may relate to his current and potential effectiveness. Carefully prepared, factual, concise, and concrete descriptive comments are helpful to boards and personnel agencies. The "easy" penements are helpful to boards and personnel agencies. The "easy" penements are helpful to boards and personnel agencies. The "easy" penements are helpful to boards and concrete evaluation of the rated officials should concentrate upon tangible and concrete evaluation of the rated officer's duty performance, including the manner in which he meets his responsibilities. A psychological analysis of the rated officer is not expected or decired. The basic question to be answered in: Now well is he doing now on his job? Primary emphasis must be on an officer's performance in his concent assignment instead of on opinions concerning his potential and personality.

At the same time, evaluation of personal traits and characteristics, and the prediction of "potential" have a valid role. Personnel agencies must consider these factors because often they must assign people to jobs they have never worked at. Certain assignments
demand officers with personal characteristics that may have little
bearing on their selection for the normal run of Army jobs. Thus,
while traits of character and estimated notential play a relatively
small part in efficiency scoring, such information is still useful
and needed.

SHOULD THE RAYAR SHOP THE RATED OFFICER HIS REPORT?

There are three possible answers to this question. It can be made mandatory; it can be left to the rater's option; or it can be prohibited. Of the three courses, it has become clear that allowing the rater to use his discretion is the least desirable.

Research has proved that when the rater intends to show the efficiency report to the rated officer, he tends to inflate it. Then he does not intend to show it, there is a clear tendency toward more objective and valid reporting. If showing is left to discretion, personnel agencies have no way of knowing which of the two reporting tendencies are reflected in a perticular report.

As to whether showing the report should be made mandatory or be prohibited, the answer seems equally clear. Since showing the report is known to reflect an inflationary influence, the no-show policy is best. It is equally well known that rated officers want to know where they stand. In the new system, as in the old, they can examine their records at the Pentagon.

The proposal has frequently been made that each officer be told the scores he has earned on his efficiency reports. Studies have disclosed that the dissemination of such information would be undesirable because it would provide (at considerable expense) incomplete information which by itself is not significant, and which is highly susceptible to misinterpretation. This is not to say that scored efficiency reports are not of some value in evaluating an individual officer. If the user bears in mind the limitations of the information and does not ascribe to it a degree of validity it does not possess, it is useful as one of the elements in the over-all analysis of the officer's complete record. Unless a person is familiar with the system and is prepared to spend considerable time in theroughly perusing the entire record, the knowledge of a score can be misleading. It is like asking a doctor for the potient's blood pressure reading as an indicator of general health.

HOW SHOULD HA MORETON HISULATT?

in the current system every efficiency report is monitored for administrative correctness at Department of the Army. Incommistent, inscourate on thoughtless reports are returned for currection. This has proved uccessary and desirable, and will be continued. The controling system is effective, resulting in considerable improvement as "the word" has spread. The increasing effectiveness of the reviewing officer has been attributed in no small measure to the indoctrination which this administrative practice disseminates throughout the Army.

To help insure that reports qualitatively differentiate between officers, the Pentagon will monitor reports. Several methods have been considered. One proposal is that DA return a report if the evalustions are inadequate to substantiate the rating. This violetes on objective to decentralize those actions that can be performed at a lower headquarters and administratively is unfeasible. Another proposal is to monitor scores by rank within a command so as to have timely information in event of an undesirable trend. The Pentagon visualizes a letter from DA to the commander informing him of the everage of his command and, if necessary, commenting on how it compares with the quality of officers assigned to him. It would also direct that rating officials be instructed to bring future reports back in line. The round expectation is that, if such a directive bears the proper tone of forcefulness, more effective judgment will. be exerted. In fact, it is reasonable to expect that the whole tenor of ratings throughout the Army will show considerable improvement. Such a result will be achieved because each officer will recognize that there is a referee to insure that everyone else is playing the game.

SHOULD RATING OFFICIALS MAKE INDEFERDENT WATEROS, AND SHOULD THEY BE NOTED ACCOUNTABLE?

The new system continues the previous policy of insuring independent evaluations by rater and indorser. Lacking such independence, it would be logical to eliminate the rater from the system in favor of the indorser or reviewer. However, when a report obviously is inconsistent, inaccurate, or otherwise faulty, the indorser may return it to the rater for reconsideration. (Or it may be returned by the reviewer to the rater or indorser.) Then a rater or indorser knows he will be judged by the accuracy and objectivity of his ratings, he is inclined to give this task the attention it deserves.

There is a modification of the old procedure whereby the rater and inderser will be required to justify unusually high or low ratings. In the narrative section, raters and indersers must clearly state their awareness that they are owarding the highest or lowest ratings, and

why they are doing so. The integrity of independent evaluations will be respected, but reports may be returned for substantiation, clarification, or admittent information.

HOW SUCCESS RATEURS BE TRAINED?

The matter of environ at ratings that validly reflect the differences among officers and that yield a reasonable distribution of scores is largely one of training and supervision. When the Regular irmy Augmentation Found concluded its mission in 1957, it reported: "Every member of the Board felt that he had profited greatly from the opportunity to study the many efficiency reports and expressed the view that he would be better prepared to make out reports in the future." If senior colonels feel this way, certainly all officers can benefit from specific instruction in the principles and methods of efficiency reporting.

Troop schools and service schools are the media best suited to training large numbers of officers. However, there is a surprisingly large group who are not conveniently available for formal training courses, such as those attending civilian schools. Accordingly, we must see to their individual instruction.

Unfortunately, there is no single or simple solution to the problem of rater training for a corps of more than 100,000 officers of all ranks and degrees of experience, who are scattered all over the world. The method adopted by the Department of the Army is to publish detailed guides and a directive for wide dissemination. Each commander then uses his local resources to impart the necessary instruction.

hen the new system takes effect in Deptember, the ultimate test of its soundness will berin. Blements of the system have, of course, been field-tested, but this is never the same as playing for heeps. Only on the day when rating officials sit down to record their evaluations on Form 67-5 will the shakedown cruise be under way. Every rater, indorser, and reviewing officer will bear his share of the responsibility for the success of the mission. If the system is good, they will have helped to prove that vital fact. It should be remembered, above all, that even the best system (which this is believed to be) cannot be fully effective without the understanding and conscientious support of everyone.

Approved

Release 2002/01/10 : CIA-RDP78-0



READ CAREFULLY REFERENCED SECTION IN AR 623-105 BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO FILL OUT ANY ITEM

	PART I - PERSONAL DATA (Read Section IV, AR 623-105) 5. BRANCH S.INITIAL APMT														
1. LAST	LAST NAME - FIRST NAME - MIDDLE INITIAL 2. SERVICE NUMBER 3. GRADE 4. DATE OF RANK BASIC				DETAIL	YES	N								
Ī															
7. UNIT,	ORGANIZ	ATION, ST	TATION AN	ND MAJOR	COMMAND						<u> </u>				
l															
 					NT II 5-										
					RT II - RE	1		ERIOD AND DUTY DATA		s IV and V, AR 623-105	5)				
8.		PERIO	COVER	ED		9. RE	ASON	FOR RENDERING REP	ORT (Check)	10. REPORT BASED	ON (Check)	RATE	:R	INDO	RSER
	FROM			то			ANNU	IAL		DAILY CONTACT					
DAY	МОИТН	YEAR	DAY	MONTH	YEAR		CHAN	IGE OF RATER		FREQUENT OBSERVAT	ION				
		-	1				PCS F	RATED OFFICER		INFREQUENT OBSERVA	ATION				
DUTY D	AYS		OTHER	DAYS				IGE OF DUTY FOR RATED	OFFICER	RECORDS AND REPORT		_			
1								R (Specify)		OTHER (Specify)					
													l		
			L									<u> </u>	l.		
11 Poly	ICIPAL DU	+	12. DUTY	,		10 411		TY ASSIGNMENT FOR RA	TED PERIOD						
III. FRIN	ICIFAL DU	1 7	MOS.			13. AU1 GR	TH ADE _								- 1
İ															
İ															
ł															
ł															ı
															l
14. MAJO	R ADDITE	ONAL DUT	riE\$												
					PART	III - MA	HHE	R OF PERFORMANCE (R	ead paragraph	21c, AR 623-105)					
15. RAT	ER														
															1
															ı
															1
								·,							
															1
i															
															l
															ı
															1
															- 1
															- 1
															ı
i															- 1
															J
16 1400	BSEB						-								
16. INDO	.useu [I AM U	NABLE TO	EVALUA	TE THIS O	FFICER	FOR 1	THE FOLLOWING REASON:							- [
															ı
															ı
															ľ
															1
															1
															1
															1
															- 1
															1
															ł

RATED OFF	FICERS NAME	App	#8V	ed Fe	yr Fle	slease 20	002/01/10 :	CIA-RDP/X	1-035/8	Anonzi	<u>101000</u>	106-9		
	102					I ITIES (Read)	paragraph 21d, AR	(02 105)		T PA	~~ V - AF			
	DEGREE	1					ge AVERAGE AB					PPRAISAL OF paragraph 21e,		
LEGEND	NUMBER		O	E MARGII		BELOW AVERAGE	GE AVERAGE AB	.4	.5					1
RATER	INDORSER	-								- COMM	DUTIE		RATER	INDORSER
·			ADAPTABILITY (Adjusts to new or changing situations & stresses; bears up under pressure) b. COMD NON-TACTICAL UNIT											
• .		h. AMB	TION (ks and	- welco	additional	imports	nt responsibilities)		C. STAFF				
								nt responsibilities) rt, and well-groome	·			PERSONNEL	 ()	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$
	H .	1							;d)		ŀ	INTEL.	1	<u> </u>
•	 						hers as a team mem	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*-!		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	OPERATIONS		
•								ns with minimum su	pervision)		ŀ	LOGISTICS	<u> </u>	\perp
•		+				hers by his zeal				NIOL	NT (J)	R&D	()	
	<u> </u>						nd concisely born	orally and in writing	ng)	- d. e		COMPT	(·)	
		 				vigorously)				1	SPECIAL S (Specify)	TAFF	1	
		+					regardless of obsta				,]	
	· · · · · ·	1.					riate action on his			INDORSE	ER (Specify	9	-	
•	<u> </u>	 					grasps concepts re						<u> </u>	
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+					practical decisions	-		e. RATER	SPECIAL (Specify)	IST	,	-
.	<u> </u>				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		pport to superiors				Spot.,,	_		1
•		n. MOR/	AL COU	RAGE (IF	ntellect	tual honesty, wi	illingness to stand	d up and be counte	ıd)	INDORSE	ER (Specify	j	1	
•	·	o. SELF	DISCIF	LINE (C	onducts	s himself in acc	cordance with acce	epted standards)	,	7			'	'
		p. SELF	F-IMPRC	OVEMENT	(Take	s action to impr	rove himself)			f. WITH C	OTHER US F	ORCES		
·	·	q. soci	ABILIT	Y (Partic	cipates	freely and easi	ily in social and c	community activities	38)	OR AGE		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1	'
		r. STAN	INA (P	erforms s	success	sfully under pro	otracted physical a	and mental stress)		& WITH F	FOREIGN FO	APCES	1	
·	·						rithout giving unnec		7		VERNMENT:	ľ	1	
-	1	 				~	person's viewpoin		-	h. INSTRU			 	
•	·	+	• SCOR										 	
····	P/				-MONST	TOATED PERF	TORMANCE AND I	ESTIMATED POTE	TIAL (Pe			OMPONENTS		
				ALL .	MOIT.	KAILDIL	UKMANCE AND _	:STIMATED FOIL		pad paragraph LL DEMONST		116, AR 623-1	05)	
R.A					·== ni	··-	***			ERFORMANC		ESTIM/	ATED POTE	INTIAL
110	ATING			EXPECI	ED Dia	STRIBUTION OF	OF 100 OFFICERS	RATED	ļ	(1)	1	_	(2)	
							•		RATER	VALUE	INDORSER	R RATER	VALUE	INDORSER
A FYCEPTIC		-+				7	!		 *	100	*	!	10	L
b. EXCEPTION	ONAL	-					<u> </u>		<u> *</u>	90	*	<u> </u>	9	
c. SUPERIOR	R	\vdash				11	<i>i</i> 11		<u> </u>	80			8	
						***************************************	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	70	<u></u>	<u> </u>	7	
d. EXCELLE	FNT	<u> </u>				<u>/##########</u>	<u> </u>		Ĺ'	60	<u></u>	T!	6	
						<u>/************************************</u>	<u> </u>		'	50			5	
e. EFFECTIV	··-	_				******	111111			40			4	
6. Er	/E				_	11'	/#			30			3	
f. MARGINAL						<u> </u>	İÌ		*	20	*		2	
g. INADEQUA	ATE						ŕ	1	*	10	*	+ +	1	
								SCORE				+	, 1 , 2	
	T VII - NUMI						PART	VIII - AUTHENTIC	'ATION (Re/	ed paragraph	· 21i. AR 6		Alligimments	
(Read	i paragraph 2	21h, AR	623-105)5)	17. SIG	GNATURE OF RA			A			DATE	E	
and ve	be entered erified by a p	by race: personne	ana mo el offic	lorser, er)										ļ
w ,, <u></u> ,, ,	scoi	RES			TYPE	D NAME, GRADE	E, BRANCH, SERVIC	CE NUMBER, ORGAN	NIZATION, A	ND DUTY AS	SIGNMENT			
			- 1,0	DORSER	1									
PART I		RATER	114	DORSER	1									ļ
			+		18. SI C	GNATURE OF INC	DORSER					DATE		
PARTV			_		1	Mn	JORGET.						E	ļ
PART V			+-		TYPE	TAME GRADE	TOTALCH SERVIC	CE NUMBER, ORGAN	TON A	~ AC				
TOTAL	<u></u>				111	I NAME, GRADE,	, BRANCH, SERVIC	E NUMBER, ORGAN	IZATION, A.	AD DUTT AD	SIGNMENT			
COMPC	OSITE SCOR	RE		11	1									
				لبل										
19. REVIEWE			AR 62	3-105)	MY	REVIEW IN	INDICATES NO FUR					ATED ON CONT	FINUATION SI	HEET
SIGNATURE O)F REVIEWE	.R					TYPED NAME, DUTY ASSIGN	E, GRADE, BRANCH, IMENT	, SERVICE N	UMBER, ORG	ANIZATION	N, AND DATE	E	
														ļ
														ļ
20.								21. DATE ENTERE	ED ON DA FO	JRM 66	22. PF	ERSONNEL OF	FICER'S INIT	1 ALS
THIS RE	EPORT HAS			INCLC	SURES	s. (Insert "0" i	if appropriate)							

Approved For pase 2002/01/10 : CIA-RDP78-03578 0700100006-9

AVPERS 310 (REV. 4-62)		REPORT ON T	HE FITNE	SS OF C	FFICERS				
NAME (Last, first, middle)			2. GRA	DE 3.	USN (R)	4. DESIGNA	TOR	5. FILE NU	JMBER
SHIP OR STATION						7. DATE RE	PORTED PRE	SENT DUTY S	STATION
OCCASION FOR REPORT PERIODIC DETACHMENT OF		DETACHMENT REGUL	co	n. [SPECIAL	10. PERIOD	OF REPORT		
DUTIES (List principal duties assi		// sse.	~~	RRENT	J	FROM:		то:	
2. EMPLOYMENT OF COMMAND DURING PERIO	D OF THIS I	REPORT							
D. REFERENCE HERE AND APPEND ANY COMM	ENDABLE OR	ADVERSE REPORTS ON TH	IIS OFFICER	RECEIVED D	URING THE P	PERIOD OF TH	IS REPORT		
4. PERFORMANCE OF DUTIES (Evalua		formance of duty in co							h of service)
DUTY ASSIGNMENT	NOT OBS. OR N.A.	Outstanding performance.	Excellent ance. Fr demonstra	equently tes out-	Very good p Frequently strates ex performance	cellent			Inadequate perform- ance. He is not qualified. (Adverse
a) PRESENT ASSIGNMENT	N.A.		standing pe	- TOTMance	pertormane		quuit		•
) PRESENT ASSIGNMENT) SHIPHANDLING AND SEAMANSHIP									•
C) AIRMANSHIP									•
d) COLLATERAL DUTIES									•
e) AS WATCH OFF	FICER								•
f) TECHNICAL SPECIALTY ()							ļ	•
g) COMMAND POTENTIAL OR ABILITY								ļ	
) ADMINISTRATIVE AND MANAGEMENT ABIL	_ITY							ļ	<u></u>
5. OVERALL EVALUATION: (a) In c	omparison	with other officers o	f his grade	and approx	cimate lengt	th of servic	e, how wou	ld you des	ignate this officer?
(b) For	NOT OBSERVED	t period indicate in One of the highly outstanding officers I know		ne officer value to	A dependa			eptable	Unsatisfactory (Adverse)
(a)									•
(b) 6. DESIRABILITY: Considering (1) the p	ossible requi	rements of war and peace,	(2) this offic	er's profess	ional and tech	mical competen	ice, and (3)	the adaptabil	ity of this officer to the
varying conditions of	of naval serv	rements of war and peace, ice, indicate your attitude	toward having	this officer	inder your com	mend in the fo	llowing type:	of assignmen	Prefer not to have
	NOT OBSERVED	Particularly desire	Prefer	to most	Pleased	l to have	Satisfie	ed to have	(Adverse)
a) OPERATIONAL					<u> </u>				
) STAFF OR ADMINISTRATIVE					_				
7. ENTRIES ON THIS REPORT ARE BASED O		ppropriate box) FREQUENT OBSER	VATION	INF	REQUENT OBS	ERVATION	REC	ORDS AND RE	PORTS ONLY
Based on your observations, for	or what typ	e of duty do you cons	ider him be	st qualifie	d for his	next assignm	nent at se	a and shore	?
SEA				SHOR					
Comment, if appropriate									
9. NAME, GRADE, FILE NUMBER, DESIGNA	TOR AND OF	FICIAL TITLE OF REPORT	ING SENIOR.						
ITNESS REPORT RECEIPT	÷	, —			÷	~			
. SHIP OR STATION						7. DATE R	EPORTED PF	ESENT DUTY	STATION
. OCCASION FOR REPORT		DETACHIENT	OF REPORT	:on- []	ł	DO OF REPO		
PERIODIC DETACHMENT OF REPORTING SENI		OF OFFICER REGU	LAH	URRENT (_	SPECIAL returned.	FROM:		T0:	

22. DATE FORWARDED

DATE FORWARDED

23. CONCURRENT REPORT:

Approved For Release 2002/01/10 : CIA-RDP78-03578A000700100006-9

BUPERSINST 1611.12 4 April 1962

BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL

- (e) All erasures and deletions on the report of fitness form which may affect the evaluation of the officer must be initialed by the reporting senior to validate the report for acceptance by the Chief of Naval Personnel.
 - (f) Detailed explanation of form sections --
 - 1. Sections 1 through 5. Self-explanatory.
- 2. Section 6. Indicate the full name and designation of ship, station, or other command to which attached. In the case of concurrent reports, it will be the ship, station, or other command at which the concurrent duties were performed.
- 3. Section 7. Indicate actual date of reporting to ship, station, or other command as shown by endorsement on orders.
- 4. Section 8. Self-explanatory. (If a "Delegated Reporting Senior" is reassigned within a command, the occasion for completion of reports involved will be reported as "Detachment of Reporting Senior." If an officer who is being reported on by a "Delegated Reporting Senior" is reassigned within a command, the occasion for his report will be "Detachment of Officer.") If "Type of Report," Section 9, is "Special", section 8 need not be completed; however, the specific occasion for the report must be stated in section 21.

5. Section 9

- a. Regular.--A report completed annually (or semiannually, as appropriate) or upon the detachment of the officer or the regular reporting senior for every officer who has reported for duty, temporary duty, duty under treatment, duty under instruction, or active duty for training. When such orders direct the officer to report to several superiors, the first superior listed in the orders, unless otherwise specified, shall be the regular reporting senior. When a naval air reserve unit or selected reserve crew reports as a unit for active duty for training, the commanding officer of the unit or crew will be the regular reporting senior. The commanding officer of the activity to which the unit or crew is ordered to report will be the regular reporting senior for the commanding officer of the aforementioned unit or crew.
 - b. Concurrent. -- A report completed by any reporting senior, other than the regular reporting senior, under whose immediate command or control the officer has performed significant duties. Such reports shall be forwarded via the regular reporting senior for

Approved For Release 2002/01/10: CIA-RDP78-03578A000700100006-9

BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL

BUPERSINST 1611.12 4 April 1962

signature in order that he may be kept informed regarding this aspect of the performance of this officer assigned to him for duty. Concurrent reports are considered desirable when the officer cannot be observed over an extended period of time by his regular reporting senior, or when he is under the operational, management, or technical control of another command, bureau, board, or office of the Navy Department. Concurrent reports may be requested from other commands to assist in completing regular reports. Normally, concurrent reports should be completed on the prescribed form in order to provide better correlation with other reports of fitness in the officer's record. However, reports covering brief periods or single incidents may be made in letter form to the Chief of Naval Personnel via the regular reporting senior. The submission of concurrent reports does not alter the requirement that regular reporting seniors submit regular reports covering all periods.

- c. Special.--A report submitted on the occasions prescribed in Article 1701.5, U.S. Navy Regulations, or when specifically requested by the Chief of Naval Personnel. Special reports shall not be submitted incidental to consideration for promotion unless requested by a selection board through the Chief of Naval Personnel. The submission of special reports does not alter the requirement that regular reporting seniors submit regular reports covering all periods.
- d. All fitness reports, regular, concurrent, and special are filed chronologically in an officer's record and have relative merit according to their content. In many instances, concurrent reports are the most significant ones in an officer's record.

推动各种类似于 机光量 医黄疸病

- 6. Section 10. For regular reports, the period commences with the date following the terminal date of the last regular report and ends with the date of the occasion for the report. Elapsed time between permanent duty or temporary duty stations (in transit, on leave) shall be included in the period of the report and explained in section 11. The period of a concurrent report need not necessarily open with the date following the terminal date of the last concurrent report except in instances where the working relationship is a constant one.
- 7. Section 11. List principal duties assigned, including watches, and indicate in parentheses after each the number of months during the reporting period so assigned. Always list primary duty first. If an assignment is in other than a regular Navy billet, or involves duties not generally well established, or duties involving close contact and joint effort with officers of other services, foreign nations, or higher echelons of the U.S. Government, give outline of purpose, scope, and significance of such duties. If necessary, use separate 8" x 101" sheet,

BUPERSINST 1611.12 4 April 1962 BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL

sign, and attach to the report form. In view of the permanent nature of an officer's record, extreme abbreviations, particularly those locally developed or of a transitory nature, should not be used in describing an officer's duties. For concurrent reports, the working relationship on which the report is based must be clearly defined under this section.

- 8. Section 12. In order that the report may properly reflect the experience gained by the officer during the period covered, indicate the nature of operations engaged in. Indicate fleet or force to which attached or operating with. In cases of independent commands or units (i.e., carrier air groups, mobile boat pools, CB detachments, etc.) also indicate ship or station to which attached.
- 9. Section 13. Matter referenced in this section must be appended to the report of fitness. Refer to material which pertains to commendatory or adverse performance. Letters and messages which pertain to the command generally, should not be referenced and appended unless the officer reported on is especially connected with the subject matter, and then his connection should be specifically indicated, either by a memorandum attached to the reference or by comment in section 21. Communications reflecting only routine performance should not be attached. This type of enclosure is of no value to the record and makes it difficult to review. Classified letters and messages should not be referenced or attached unless it is impracticable to paraphrase that portion pertinent to the fitness. report. The referencing and attachment of material requesting detachment of officers for disciplinary reasons or unsatisfactory performance is not required; however, appropriate comment should be made ::: in section 21(b). Letters of censure or other nonjudicial punishment shall not be referenced in this section and appended to the report unless they reflect that no appeal will be made or that the officer's appeal has been resolved adversely to him. Formal investigations which will become a matter of record in the Navy Department and intelligence reports shall not be referenced in this section or appended to the report. The issuance of nonpunitive letters of censure or reports of action taken pursuant to paragraph 128(c), Manual for Courts-Martial, United States, shall not be referenced in this section or appended to the report. Performance of duty or facts upon which the above are based may properly be mentioned in section 21.

- 10. Section 14

a. The mark assigned in "Present Assignment" represents the overall performance of the officer and reflects the degree of professional qualification attained in the duties listed in section 11 during

BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL

BUPERSINST 1611.12 4 April 1962

the period reported. Other marks assigned in this section should, in combination, substantiate the mark assigned in "Present Assignment." The descriptive statements define the rating scale and are subdivided into two marking boxes consistent with the high and low direction of each category.

- b. The mark in "Shiphandling and Seamanship" should reflect the degree of attainment of proficiency in all aspects of shiphandling and seamanship.
- c. The mark in "Airmanship" relates to the officer's overall ability as an airman in the actual control of aircraft and his leadership and judgment in the tactical/operational employment of aircraft and weapons systems.
- d. The mark in "Collateral Duties" should reflect the manner in which the officer performs all secondary or collateral duties indicated in section 11. Unusual performance in any one of such duties may be commented on in section 21.
- e. If the officer is assigned to a particular watch, this watch will be identified in the space provided and the manner of his performance reflected in the marking area.
- f. If an officer has a technical specialty for which he has received formal schooling or accorded formal recognition, this specialty should be identified and his displayed proficiency in the area evaluated and marked accordingly. It is not intended that a specialty clearly identified by the officer's designator (section 4) and a major requirement for his primary duty be evaluated separately under "Technical Specialty." However, it is intended that other specialties such as communications, electronics, pediatrics, orthodontist, metallurgy, meterology, nuclear physics, etc., attained as the result of formal training or otherwise accorded formal recognition be reflected under "Technical Specialty."
- g. The mark in "Command Potential or Ability" should reflect displayed ability in a position of command responsibility or the reporting senior's estimate of the officer's potential for command positions.
- h. The mark in "Administrative and Management Ability" should not only reflect observed performance in this area but also take into consideration the reporting senior's estimation of the officer's potential for further development in this area.

1 3

BUPERSINST 1611.12 4 April 1962

BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL

11. Section 15. -- Overall Evaluation

- a. Item (a) reflects the reporting senior's overall professional estimate of the officer reported on. In arriving at the mark in (a), the reporting senior will compare each officer within a particular category with the mental image he has of what this particular category (line, medical, dental, supply, etc.) of officer should measure up to; taking into consideration approximate length of service.
- b. The (b) portion of this section requires a complete summary of all officers of the same grade (regardless of corps, designator, length of service) for whom reports are completed at the same time. Therefore, it is necessary to first mark all officers of the same grade in the (a) portion and then tabulate all marks so assigned to arrive at the (b) portion.
- c. Item (a) will contain one "X" in the appropriate block for the officer reported on and item (b) will reflect the numeric totals of all marks assigned in each block of (a) for all officers of the same grade. The (b) portion of this section should contain the same figures on each report completed for a particular period for all officers of the same grade and should, when combined, equal the total number of officers in this grade reported on by the reporting senior as of the terminal date of the period included in the reports.
- d. In cases such as detachment of the officer reported on, item (b) may indicate only a single evaluation.
- e: Item (b) will reflect to some extent the marking standards of the reporting senior to anyone reviewing the fitness reports. Marks in blocks below the highest in other sections of the report may result in greater credit to the officer reported on than marks in the highest blocks when supported by figures in item (b) which indicate that the reporting senior has made an effort to obtain an objective spread in his evaluations. On the other hand, a reporting senior marking an excessively large number of officers of the same grade in the highest blocks should realize that the summary in item (b) will reflect this fact and detract from such superlative marks unless this departure from the normal pattern is adequately justified in the remarks section of each report involved.

12. Section 16 .-- Desirability

a. The marks assigned in this section reflect the reporting senior's estimate of the officer's capabilities in the three types of assignment indicated.

BUPERSINST 1611.12 4.April 1962

- b. This section has been subdivided into three areas, with provision for 'not observed' in each, in recognition of the fact that the services of all officers are not necessarily equally desirable in each. A mark must be assigned in each subdivision.
- 13. Section 17.--The reporting senior should indicate in this section the basis upon which the evaluation in the report is made. An "X" should be inserted in only one block of this section. It is considered that the first three bases for evaluation include consideration given to records and reports; accordingly, the "Records and Reports Only" block should not be marked except in those instances where no other basis exists for reaching an evaluation of the performance of the officer reported on.
- 14. Section 18.—This section is designed to afford the reporting senior an opportunity to assist materially the Chief of Naval Personnel in making future assignments of the officer reported on. Note briefly the type of billet and type of activity, ship, or squadron in which your observations indicate the officer reported on is best qualified to serve at sea and ashore. This section is not intended to reflect the desires of the officer reported on for future assignment, but rather the considered opinion of the reporting senior as to where this officer's services will best serve the needs of the Navy. Enter "not observed" if appropriate but do not leave this section blank. Space is provided for comments regarding future assignments when deemed desirable.

15. Section 19

a. Enter in this section the name, grade, file number, designator, and official title of the reporting senior.

- b. Reference the Chief of Naval Personnel's letter of approval in those instances where the reporting senior is one to whom delegation of authority has been made in accordance with paragraph (4)(d) above.
- c. When the next senior in the chain of command completes a report in lieu of the regular reporting senior, the notation "NSIC" will be made after other required entries to indicate !'next senior in chain of command in accordance with paragraph (4)(e) or (4)(f) of this Instruction.
- 16. Section 20: -Leadership. Place "X" in appropriate block under each quality description. The definition of the highest marking block in the rating scale, "I out of 100 Exceeds ALL others," is used as a figure of speech and should not be interpreted as a

BUPERSINST 1611.12 4 April 1962

BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL

statistical definition of the marking category. A mark in this block indicates that the officer is considered to be the "rarest of the jewels" amongst the many top-notch officers of the Navy.

17. Section 21. Comments

- a. "Comments" section is subdivided to permit the reporting senior to differentiate between minor weaknesses and adverse performance. This will preclude misinterpretation of the reporting senior's intent by selection boards and others making official use of an officer's record. If minor weaknesses are commented on in section 21(a), they must be discussed with the officer in an attempt to encourage improvement. If minor weaknesses have been included in the comments but not discussed with the officer, the reasons therefor must be included in the comments. If no minor weaknesses have been included in the report, the "Not Applicable" block shall be marked. The reporting senior must use his best judgment in digtinguishing between minor weaknesses and serious deficiencies in lack of judgment, ability, leadership, character, or performance which must be commented on in section 21(b). Any comment that the reporting senior considers adverse in nature must be reported in section 21(b) and the report referred to the officer reported on for statement pursuant to Article 1701.8, U.S. Navy Regulations. The officer's signed statement, even though it be to the effect "I do not desire to make a statement," with the reporting senior's endorsement must be attached to the report. Comments are mandatory in section 21(b) in support of adverse marks in sections 14, 15, 16, and 20.
- b. In selection for promotion and assignment to duty, considerable weight and reliance are placed on the remarks made in this section. Accordingly, every effort must be made to present any relevant facts which make the report a complete and comprehensive evaluation. The comments will substantiate and must be consistent with marks assigned in other portions of the report. Comments may be made regarding references in section 13. The restrictions applying to section 13 relating to letters of censure or other nonjudicial punishment, and nonpunitive letters of censure issued under paragraph 128(c), Manual for Courts-Martial, United States, are equally applicable to this section. Performance of duty or facts upon which the above are based may properly be mentioned in this section.
- c. Stereotyped comments may be harmful to the officer reported on and should be avoided. Each remark should be descriptive of the individual reported on. In most instances, cryptic language similar to that found in messages and speedletters is more desirable than lengthy statements of explanation. Statements in this section should

BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL

BUPERSINST 1611.12 4 April 1962

be specific, not general. Items appropriate for this section include: marks received on various inspections, i.e., administrative, material, operational readiness, and underway training; special projects completed; useful suggestions and recommendations advanced; noteworthy efforts toward self improvement and good public relations. In addition, reporting seniors must insure that any new qualifications established by the officer during the reporting period are mentioned in fitness reports. Pertinent comments such as "qualified as OOD underway on 6-1-61" or "qualified as Gunnery Officer of DD on 8-1-61" should be included where applicable to insure completeness of the records as well as to assist a Naval Examining Board in establishing the professional qualification of officers selected for promotion.

- d. It is essential that, in any assignment to duty where close contact and joint effort with officers of other services, foreign nations, and higher echelons of our government may be entailed, proper notation of such assignment be made in each fitness report of the officer concerned. Not only shall the officer's performance of duty in such joint effort be recorded, but careful delineation shall be made of the circumstances of the joint effort concerned. Experience encountered, either as collateral or part of primary duties, must be noted in the fitness report, in order that an officer's experience, as well as performance, in any such duties be accorded due recognition by future selection boards.
- e. Ample space has been provided under section 21(a) for sufficient comments to complete the average report. However, in the interest of reducing the number of attachments to fitness reports, comments normally submitted on a continuation sheet may be continued in section 21(b) if properly identified and this space is not otherwise required.
- f. The appropriate block following the question "Has officer seen this report?" will be marked with an "X" on each fitness report submitted.
- g. The appropriate block in section 21(c) will be marked on each report. This section is included in the form in order to insure that the reporting senior compares each report with his retained worksheet of the preceding report and accordingly reflects the trend of performance desired in the report being prepared. Where the trend of performance is declining, the circumstances must have been discussed with the officer reported on.
- h. If a report referred to an officer for statement is not returned to the reporting senior within a reasonable period of time, the

17

Approved For Release 2002/01/10 : CIA-RDP78-03578 4000700100006-9

BUPERSINST 1611.12 4 April 1962

BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL

reporting senior shall forward a signed duplicate report to the Chief of Naval Personnel with explanation of the circumstances. The officer reported on should be informed when this is done. If the officer on whom an adverse report is made has been detached, the report shall be forwarded to him direct and not via the new reporting senior except when the officer has been hospitalized. If the address of the officer reported on is not known, forward the report to the Chief of Naval Personnel for referral.

18. Section 22

- a. In view of the reduction in administrative workload resulting from annual report submissions for senior officers plus the staggered reporting dates, reporting seniors should be able to anticipate report requirements sufficiently to insure submission immediately following the terminal date of each report. Concurrent reporting seniors should expedite the submission of reports via the regular reporting senior in order that he may insure complete evaluation of all facets of each officer's performance during any given period. Submission of regular reports should not be delayed pending receipt of concurrent reports, the outcome of boards of investigation, etc.
- b. The report must be signed in ink by the reporting senior. Reports signed "for", "by direction", with a facsimile stamp, or by an officer junior to the officer reported on are not acceptable. Reports should not be signed by an "acting" commanding officer during the temporary absence of the commanding officer unless he has formally relieved him of command and then only if he has been so acting for the entire period being reported.
- 19. Section 23.-The signature of the regular reporting senior and date shall be entered in this section on all concurrent reports prior to forwarding such reports to the Chief of Naval Personnel. The reporting senior's signature in this section indicates merely that he has seen and noted the report. It is in no way considered to denote concurrence or nonconcurrence on the part of the regular reporting senior since the regular report submitted by him will contain his evaluation of the officer concerned.

(8) Submission

(a) Reports of fitness are considered and treated as private official and shall be forwarded in double envelopes. The outer envelope

BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL

BUPERSINST 1611.12 4 April 1962

will be addressed to the Chief of Naval Personnel (Fitness Report Branch), and the inner envelope will be marked "Report of Fitness, For Official Use Only." If necessary to make reference to classified information in a report of fitness, the instructions promulgated in the U.S. Navy Security Manual for Classified Information apply.

- (b) Copies of letters, citations, etc., intended to be transmitted as a part of the report shall be securely fastened thereto. One certified copy of the original of each paper attached is sufficient. Notation shall be made on each paper of the name and file number of the officer and the period of the report of fitness to which applicable. Only correspondence or other communications directly related to the report submitted shall be placed in the envelope with the report of fitness.
- (c) If more space is needed for remarks in any section of the report, the remarks shall be continued on a sheet of $8'' \times 10\frac{1}{2}''$ plain white bond paper. Notation shall be made on each continuation sheet of the name and file number of the officer reported on, and the period of the report to which applicable. All continuation sheets must be signed by the reporting senior.
- (9) Receipt Form. The tear-off receipt form has been designed to assist individual officers in determining whether all fitness reports due them have been received by the Chief of Naval Personnel. The receipt form will be completed by entering in sections 6 through 10 the data entered in the corresponding sections of the report form itself. The officer reported on will enter his grade, name, file number, designator, and the mailing address to which he wishes the receipt returned. Upon receipt of the fitness report in the Bureau of Naval Personnel, the receipt form will be appropriately stamped, placed in a window envelope and returned to the officer concerned for his personal file. To insure continuity of their fitness report jackets, officers should review the dates in section 10 of the receipt form to see that a "regular" report of fitness is submitted to cover all periods. Concurrent and special reports are not considered for continuity of record. Should an officer fail to receive a receipt within 90 days after a regular report was due, he may direct inquiry to the Chief of Naval Personnel.

Approved For Release 2002/01/10: CIA-RDP78-03578A000 100006-9
READ CAREFULLY REFERENCED SECTION IN AR 623-105 BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO FILL OUT ANY ITEM

	NAL DATA (R	ead Section IV, AR 623-105)		5. BRAN	1 СН	6. INIT F	RA APMT
1. LAST NAME - FIRST NAME - MIDDLE INITIAL		2. SERVICE NUMBER	3. GRADE	4. DATE OF RANK	BASIC	DETAIL	YES	NO
7. UNIT, ORGANIZATION, STATION AND MAJOR COMMA	ND		<u> </u>					
PART II -	REPORTING	PERIOD AND DUTY DATA	(Read Section	s IV and V, AR 623-105)			
8. PERIOD COVERED	9. REASC	ON FOR RENDERING REPO	RT (Check)	10. REPORT BASED	ON (Check)	RATE	R IN	IDORSER
FROM TO DAY MONTH YEAR DAY MONTH YEAR		NUAL		DAILY CONTACT				•••
	CH/	ANGE OF RATER		FREQUENT OBSERVATI		-		
DUTY DAYS OTHER DAYS		S RATED OFFICER ANGE OF DUTY FOR RATED O	NEELCED.	RECORDS AND REPORT				
		HER (Specify)	, ricen	OTHER (Specify)				
		UTY ASSIGNMENT FOR RA	TED PERIOD					
11. PRINCIPAL DUTY 12. DUTY MOS	13. AUTH Grade	:						
				•				
				•				
14. MAJOR ADDITIONAL DUTIES								
	DT III 144501	ED OF BEDEODWINGS (D		24- 45 (22 42)				
15. RATER	RI III - MANN	ER OF PERFORMANCE (Re	ead paragraph	21c, AR 623-105)				
				•				
16. INDORSER I AM UNABLE TO EVALUATE THE	S OFFICER FOR	R THE FOLLOWING REASON:						
								l
						,		

RATED OF F	ICERS NAM	BP65466 LOY	kelease 2002/01/1	U: CIA-RDP78-03	576A00	0700 10	0006-9			
		PART IV - PERSON	AL QUALITIES (Read paragra	oph 21d, AR 623-105)		PAR	T V - APP	RAISAL OF	QUALIFICA	TIONS
	DEGREE			ERAGE ABOVE AVERAGE	FXFMPLARY	1			AR 623-105	
LEGEND	NUMBER		1 .2		DUTIES		RATER	INDORSER		
RATER	INDORSER			a. COMMA	ND A TACT		I KATEK	W DO NOCK		
	İ	4. ADAPTABILITY (A	ljusts to new or changing situ	;)	ON-TACTION		<u> </u>			
 		h AMBITION (Sooks o	nd malaamaa additianal and m	ore important responsibilities		C. STAFF	T		 	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						PERSONNEL	 			
<u> </u>	•			s neat, smart, and well-groom	ea)	TINU		NTEL.	()	(
•	<u> </u>		rks in harmony with others as			GENER		PERATIONS	()	
	•		**	sired actions with minimum st	upervision)	- °'	F	LOGISTICS	+(-)	
<u> </u>	•		vates others by his zeal)			- JOIN.	(a)	R & D	()	()
<u> </u>	•			cisely both orally and in writ	ing)	d. e	PECIAL S	COMPT		()
·	•	h. FORCE (Executes			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RATER (, ar i		
·	·		solutions to problems regardl				- 25.5.5			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·		necessary and appropriate ac			INDORSE	R (Specify)	,		
·		k. INTELLIGENCE (A	cquires knowledge and grasps	concepts readily)						
·		1. JUDGEMENT (Think	s logically and makes practic	cal decisions)		RATER (S	SPECIALI Specify)	ST		
·				o superiors and subordinates)						
	•	n. MORAL COURAGE	(Intellectual honesty, willings	ness to stand up and be count	ed)	INDORSE	R (Specify)			
		O. SELF-DISCIPLINE	Conducts himself in accordan	ce with accepted standards)						
		p. SELF-IMPROVEME	T (Takes action to improve h	imself)		f. WITH O	THER US FO	RCES		1
		q. SOCIABILITY (Par	icipates freely and easily in .	social and community activitie	es)	OR AGE	NCIES		<u> </u>	
· ·		r. STAMINA (Perform	g. with F	OREIGN FO	RCES					
		S. TACT (Says or doe	s what is appropriate without	giving unnecessary offense)		OR GOV	ERNMENTS			
		t. UNDERSTANDING (Appreciation of another perso	n's viewpoint)		h. INSTRU	CTOR			
		← SCORE				i. WITH R	ESERVE CO	MPONENTS		
	P	ART VI - OVERALL	EMONSTRATED PERFORMA	NCE AND ESTIMATED POT	ENTIAL (Res	d paragraph	s 21f and 2	1g, AR 623-	105)	
	•					L DEMONST		FSTIM	ATED POTE	NTIAL
R	ATING	EXPE	TED DISTRIBUTION OF 100	OFFICERS RATED	PE	RFORMANO (1)	E		(2)	
ł					RATER	VALUE	INDORSER	RATER	VALUE	INDORSER
a. OUTSTAN	IDING		•		*	100	*		10	
b. EXCEPT	IONAL		İİ		*	90	*		9	
			į į į į			80	-		8	
c. SUPERIO	R		11111111111			70			7	
			*************	*******		60	, ,		6	
d: EXCELL	ENT		************			50			5	
			*******	1		40			4	
e. EFFECTI	IVE		****			30			3	
f. MARGINA	•		1 t		*	20	*	†	2	
g. INADEQU					*	10	*	-	1	
B. INADEGO			<u> </u>	SCORE		10		1		
945	T VII NIII	ERICAL VALUE	1	PART VIII - AUTHENTI	CATION (Per	d parasranh	21i. AP 61	23-105)	k::::::::	1
()Rea	d paragraph	21h, AR 623-105)	17. SIGNATURE OF RATER	· ANT THE AUTHENT		- Laragraphi	, AR 02	DA	TE	
		by rater and indorser personnel officer)								
ļ	800	RES	TYPED NAME, GRADE, BRA	NCH, SERVICE NUMBER, ORGA	ANIZATION, A	ND DUTY AS	SIGNMENT			
		Γ'''	-							
		RATER INDORSE	R							
PART			18. SIGNATURE OF INDORS	ER				DA	TE	
	· vi (1)		_							
	· vi (2)		TYPED NAME, GRADE REA	NCH, SERVICE NUMBER, ORGA	NIZATION A	ND DUTY AS	SIGNMENT			
TOTA	1L	<u> </u>		,		, ,,,				
СОМЕ	POSITE SCO	RE								
		1				<u> </u>				
	ER (Read Se	ction VI, AR 623-105 ER		ATES NO FURTHER ACTION TYPED NAME, GRADE, BRANC					TE	HEET
- STATURE	. J. NEVIEW			DUTY ASSIGNMENT	,	2, 0	2 101		-	
20.				21. DATE ENTE	RED ON DA F	ORM 66	22. PI	ERSONNEL O	FFICER'S INI	TIALS
1			V 000000 (F====) ((0)) (f			.,				
THIS	REPORT HAS		LOSURES. (Insert "0" if app	O - CIA DDD70 02		3	0000			



INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING ARMY OFFICER EFFICIENCY REPORTS (OER) - DA FORM 67-5

SECTION I - GENERAL

- 1. DA Form 67-5 will be prepared in original only, the report will not be folded nor contain classified information.
 - 2. Items 1 thru 9 will be completed by Army Branch, MMPD.

SECTION II - INSTRUCTIONS FOR RATER AND INDORSER

- 3. Item 10: Check the appropriate box.
- 4. Item 11 thru 14: Enter the principal duty and major additional duty performed, if any. If the principal duty title is self explanatory no further comments are required (i.e., company commander, Battle Group commander, S-3, G-3, Ord Off, etc.). In cases where the principal duty title is not self explanatory or the level and magnitude of the assignment is not clearly established when considered in relationship to the unit or organization to which assigned a brief description of duties and responsibilities will be provided.
- 5. Items 15 and 16: The purpose here is to provide a word picture, in the rater's and indorser's own words, of the rated officer's manner of performance of duties as seen by them during the period of the report. It is important that the comments fully describe the manner in which the rated officer typically carries out his assigned duties and associated responsibilities without reference to what kind of man he is. When scores which denote "Outstanding," "Exceptional, ""Marginal," or "Inadequate" performance, are used in Part VI (1), specific justification in support of such entries will be included. The unqualified remark "I concur" will not be used by the indorser since it is not in keeping with the principles of objective reporting based on an independent evaluation.
- 6. Part IV: The rater and indorser, by use of the numbers .0 through .5, as specified on the efficiency report form, will indicate the degree to which the rated officer has consistently displayed each quality. Since in all cases decimals will be involved, the rater and indorser should exercise due care in computing the total score. When any of the three lowest scores (.0, .1 or .2) are entered for any personal quality an explanation must be entered in part III.

7. Part V:

a. Designate, in order of priority, a minimum of four type duties which it is estimated the rated officer, by virtue of demonstrated abilities and capabilities, would be most likely to perform successfully. Indicate these priorities with 1 (duty for which best suited) 2, 3, and 4 respectively. If considered appropriate other duties may also be marked

Approved For Release 2002/01/10: CIA-RDP78-03578A000700100006-9

by continuing the numerical sequence according to priorities (i.e., 5, 6, 7, etc.).

- b. In the event the rater or indorser believes that the rated officer could not perform satisfactorily because of limited qualification or is handicapped for a reason (e.g., unsuitability of family) other than current grade, length of service and/or branch of service, in one or more of the duty areas, they will so indicate with an L for such duty assignments. When one or more L's are used, the rating officials must briefly explain in part III the reasons for this estimate.
- c. When entries are made in item c, part V, the appropriate level of staff positions selected will be indicated by adding (U) for unit level (G) for General Staff and (J) for Joint, combined and/or unified Staffs, in the parentheses provided.
- d. When considered appropriate to designate a special staff posttion in item d, part V, the rater and indorser will specify the position in the space provided. Special Staff includes all staff positions at a headquarters that are not included in the coordinating staff group. The special staff includes the technical and administrative staff positions, e.g., Quartermaster officer, Transportation officer, Adjutant General, etc. If deemed appropriate by rating officials, the level of position may be indicated in the same manner as provided for in the preceding paragraph.
- e. When considered appropriate to designate a specialist position in item e, part V, the rater and indorser will specify the position in the space provided. In general, duty positions in this category pertain to an officer with professional competence acquired by special schooling or extensive experience. Some of the general fields related to such specialization are: Advanced Business Management, Automotive Engineering Chemistry, Economics, Education, Electronics, Guided Missiles, Nuclear Physics, Psychology, and apecialized fields within the medical and legal profession. Also related to specialist identification are the assignment areas in the broad fields covered by the U. S. Army Specialist Programs: namely, Army Security, Atomic Energy, Aviation, Civil Affairs, Foreign Area Specialist Training, Information, Intelligence, Logistics, and Research and Development.

8. Part VI:

- a. The scored numbers have been provided raters and indorsers to eliminate the most common objection to previous rating forms, that of rating officials commenting that they never knew what numerical evaluation they were giving to the rated officer when they indicated their categorical performance rating. Rater and indorser will enter an X in the appropriate block under overall demonstrated performance and estimated potential.
- b. Definition of terms. The following interpretation of terms will be used in the completion of part VI:



- (1) Inadequate. This category applies to those officers whose capacities for accepting responsibilities in duties at the same level of command and staff at which serving is limited to a restricted type of duty.
- (2) Marginal. This category applies to officers who have serious limitations for greater responsibilities at higher levels of command and staff than the level at which presently serving.
- (3) Effective. This categorical rating should be reserved for the officer who has capabilities and potential for assuming greater responsibilities at the present level only.
- (4) Excellent. Most of the officers on extended active duty indicate a potential for performance of duties at the next higher level of command or staff. Some, by their display of abilities and qualifications clearly reveal that they could be expected to perform compentently and effectively in command and staff assignments at two levels above the one in which they are presently assigned duties. These officers should be scored in the highest of the two numerical brackets for this categorical rating. Those who barely indicate their capacities for greater responsibilities at the next higher level should be marked in the lower bracket.
- (5) Superior. This categorical rating appropriately fits the more promising officers who have all the personal and professional abilities and qualifications for much greater responsibilities placed on incumbents in assignments at the next or higher levels of command or staff.
- (6) Exceptional. Officers warranting this categorical rating must be one of the very few who possess extraordinary personal qualifications and background which give them great potential for duties with responsibilities at the highest command and staff level.
- (7) Outstanding. This description and corresponding score should be given only to the officer whose performance standards in all duty requirements so exceed those of his contemporaries that he can, with justification, be commended singularly as outstanding.
 - 9. Part VII: Will be completed by Army Branch, MMPD
 - 10. Part VIII:
- a. Item 17 and 18. Enter date and personal signature. Date will not be prior to the period of report date shown in item 3. When the rater and/or indorser portion is to be completed by a civilian, the individual's typed name, and GS Grade will suffice for the supplemental data required for these items.
 - b. Item through 22. Will be completed by Army Branch.

Approved For Release 2002/01/10: CIA-RDP78-03578A000700100006-9

11. If additional space is required for continuation of items 11, 15 and/or 16 by the rating and/or indorsing official, a sample continuation sheet is attached.

SECTION III - SUSPENSE CONTROL OF OER'S

12. Officer Efficiency Reports should be completed and returned to this office no later than 30 days after the closing date of the report period. A suspense control follow-up will be made by Army Branch, MMPD.

(15 spaces left margin, 8 spaces right margin)

(7 spaces from top of page)

Officer Efficiency Report (DA Form 67-5)

SMITH, John D., Ol OOl OOl, Captain, SigC Period 1Feb61 to 31Jan62

*Item #11:

*Item #15:

*Item #16:

(Rater's Signature) (2) (Indorser's Signature) JACK SMITH GEORGE JONES GS-14 GS-15

*Omit if not required.

- (1) Rater's signature required if Items 11 and 15 are continued on this page.
- (2) Indorser's signature required only if Item 16 is continued on this page.

SAMPLE CONTINUATION SHEET - DA Form 67-5

ARMY OFFICER EFFICIENCY REPORTS

INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWING OFFICERS

- 1. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS. The reviewing officer has the responsibility of insuring that the efficiency report provides adequate and accurate information concerning manner of performance, qualities, appraisal of qualifications, and an evaluation of overall potential of the rated officer.
 - 2. SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS. Item 19, DA Form 67-5. Reviewer will
 - a. Insure that proper rater-indorser channels have been followed.
- b. Carefully analyze the rater's and indorser's evaluation of the rated officer from the standpoint of fairness with respect to the interest of the rated officer and the United States Army. Upon receipt of unusual, adverse, or derogatory reports take as many of the following actions as deemed appropriate:
- (1) Make inquiry as to any unusual circumstances surrounding the rendition of the report.
- (2) Refer the report to the rating and/or indorsing officer for further comment or clarification, if appropriate. These comments will be attached as inclosures to the report.
 - (3) Initiate action to reassign the rated officer if indicated.
- c. Insure that any report rated in part VI(1) as "Cutstanding", "Exceptional," "Marginal", or "Inadequate" is adequately justified by the rating official in his comments in part III of the report. If in the opinion of the reviewing officer, the comments in part III do not adequately justify any of the above ratings, he should return the report to the proper rating official for further justification. These personal comments of the rating officials will be attached to the report as an inclosure.
- d. Carefully analyze reports wherein the rating and indorsing officers evaluations reveal a wide difference of opinion. It is not mandatory that the reviewing officer resolve honest differences of opinion revealed by entries made by a rater and an indorser and which are based on independent observation of a rated officer's performance. However, reports with marked inconsistencies in evaluations such as excellent marked by the rater and marginal by the indorser in part VI(1) which are not satisfactorily explained should be referred to the rater and/or indorser, for comment as appropriate. These personal comments of the rating officials will be attached as inclosures to the report. If the efficiency report explains the differences in evaluations, the report need not be referred back to the rater and/or indorser, however, the reviewer will submit his views and/or recommendations.

Approved For Release 2002/01/10: CIA-RDP78-03578A000700100006-9

ARMY OFFICER EFFICIENCY REPORTS

INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWING OFFICERS (Cont'd)

These comments will be attached as inclosures to the report.

- e. Add any additional personal comments he desires to make to protect the interests of the individual and the Government.
- $f_{\:\raisebox{1pt}{\text{\circle*{1.5}}}}$ Indicate in the appropriate block whether or not further action was required.
- g. Verify his typed name, grade, branch, service number, organization, and duty assignment.
- h. Insure that the exact job title of the reviewing officer is clearly indicated so that the relationship to the indorsing officer is clearly established.
 - i. Authenticate and date the report.

Release 2002/01/10 : CIA-RDP78-0 A000700100006-9 Approved



OFFICER FITNESS REPORT—U.S. MARINE CORPS
NAVMC 10147-PD (REV. 4-61)
(SUPERSEDES NAVMC 10147-PD (REV. 2-57) WHICH MAY BE USED. ALL OTHER EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE)

SECTI	ION A							
1	(Last	name)	(First name)	(Initi	al)	(Grade)	USMC	(Service number)
2. ORGA	ANIZATION							
3. PRIM	IARY MOS			ADDITIONAL	MOS'S			
	ISION FOR REPORT (Check							
	SEMIANNUAL	DETACHMENT OF	OFFICER REPORTED ON lation to which detached		CHANGE SENIOR	OF REPORTING	CONCURRENT REPORT	OTHER (Explain on line below)
5. PERIC	OD COVERED: FROM	(Day,	month, year)	то		(Day, month	MON	ITHS
6. PERIO	DDS OF NONAVAILABILITY	(30 DAYS OR MORE) (Explain)					
7. DUTY	ASSIGNMENTS DURING P	ERIOD COVERED: REG	SULAR (Dates, descriptive	title, and d	uty MOS)			
			s)					
MARK	SMANSHIP QUALIFICATI	ONS (Lieutenants a	nd Captains)					
8. WIFE'S	S ADDRESS.							
	<u> </u>							
AGE, R	RELATIONSHIP OF DEPEND	ENTS REQUIRING TRA	NSPORTATION					
0. OFFIC	CER'S PREFERENCE FOR NI	EXT ASSIGNMENT (18	choice)					
(2d c	choice)				_ (3d choi	ce)		
				(Signature	of officer re	eported on		(Date)
ECTIO	ON B (To be completed b	y reporting senior)			-			1
1. NAME	OF REPORTING SENIOR _						GRADE	us
2. DUTY	ASSIGNMENT						ONADE	
3. RECO	MMENDATIONS FOR OFFIC	ER'S NEXT DUTY ASS	IGNMENT:					
. DURIN	IG THE PERIOD COVERED I	BY THIS REPORT:		YES	NO	If YES in ((a), (b), or (c), and a reno	rt has NOT been submitted to the
(a) l	Has the work of the commendatory was	his officer been y?	reported on in a			CMC, attac	h separate statement of na	iture and attendant circumstances. AC, reference such report below:
(b) I	Has the work of thi	is officer been re	ported adversely?					
(c) V	Was this officer taction that should	he subject of be included on	any disciplinary his record?					
a. ENTRI	IES ON THIS REPORT AR	E BASED ON (Check	appropriate box)			-	15e. TO BE COMPLETED	ON ORGANIZED RESERVE OFFICERS
DAILY OF TH	CONTACT AND CLOSE OBS	SERVATION FF	EQUENT OBSERVATIONS THIS OFFICER'S WORK	—	EQUENT OF		ATTENDED	OFSCHEDULED DRILLS

SECTION C (To be completed in pen and link by reporting senior) INSATISFACTORY BELOW AVERAGE BOVE AVERAGE Considering the officer reported on in comparison with all other officers of the same grade whose professional abilities are known to you personally, indicate your estimate of this officer by marking "X" in the OUTSTANDING XCELLENT VERAGE appropriate spaces below. 16. PERFORMANCE OF DUTY (Based on fact) (a) REGULAR DUTIES (b) ADDITIONAL DUTIES (c) ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES (d) HANDLING OFFICERS (e) HANDLING ENLISTED PERSONNEL (f) TRAINING PERSONNEL (g) TACTICAL HANDLING OF TROOPS (Unit appropriate to officer's grade) 17. TO WHAT DEGREE HAS HE EXHIBITED THE FOLLOWING? (a) ENDURANCE (Physical and mental ability for carrying on under fatiguing conditions) (b) PERSONAL APPEARANCE (The trait of habitually appearing neat, smart, and well-groomed in uniform or civilian attire) (c) MILITARY PRESENCE (The quality of maintaining appropriate dignity and soldierly bearing) (d) ATTENTION TO DUTY (Industry; the trait of working thoroughly and conscientiously) (e) COOPERATION (The faculty of working in harmony with others, military and civilian) (f) INITIATIVE (The trait of taking necessary or appropriate action on own responsibility) (g) SUDGMENT (The ability to think clearly and arrive at logical conclusions) (h) PRESENCE OF MIND (The ability to think and act promptly and effectively in an unexpected emergency or under great strain) (i) FORCE (The faculty of carrying out with energy and resolution that which is believed to be reasonable, right or duty) (j) LEADERSHIP (The capacity to direct, control, and influence others and still maintain high morale) (k) LOYALTY (The quality of rendering faithful and willing service, and unswerving allegiance under any and all circumstances) (1) PERSONAL RELATIONS (Faculty for establishing and maintaining cordial relations with military and civilian associates) (m) ECONOMY IN MANAGEMENT (Effective utilization of men, money and materials) 18. Considering the possible requirements of service in war, indicate your attitude toward having this officer under your command. PREFER NOT TO HAVE? PARTICULARLY DESIRE TO HAVE? BE GLAD BE WILLING Would you-NOT OBSERVED 19. (a) Indicate your estimate of this officer's "General Value to the Service" by marking "X" in the appropriate box: OUTSTANDING AVERAGE ABOYE AVERAGE EXCELLENT NOT OBSERVED UNSATISFACTORY BELOW AVERAGE П (b) Show distribution of all Item 19. (a) markings awarded officers of his grade for this reporting occasion: ______ 1 1 1 1 1 1 ł SECTION D (To be completed by reporting senior in pen and ink.) Record in this space a concise appraisal of the professional character of the officer reported on.

(This space must not be left blank.) SECTION E (To be completed by the reporting senior) SECTION F (To be completed when required) (Check One) I have seen this completed report. \square 1 have no statement to make I CERTIFY that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made hereon are true and without prejudice or partiality. I HAVE ATTACHED A STATEMENT (Date) (Signature of officer reported on) (Date) (Signature of reporting senior)

SECTION G (To be completed by reviewing officer) NAME OF REVIEWING OFFICER GRADE INITIALS. DUTY ASSIGNMENT. * U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1961 0-591135

			DATE	
			SUSPENSE	-
SUBJECT:	Fitness Report for the period	in the case of	to	

TO:

- 1. A fitness report is required on subject officer for the period indicated above.
- 2. Fitness reports form one of the most important records of an individual's performance of duty and the professional qualifications he has gained. These reports present a continuous record of the individual's active service with the Marine Corps. Fitness reports are vital in determining assignment to duty and in selection for promotion. Individuals submitting reports therefore, must realize the significance of the fitness report and exercise the utmost care and thoroughness in completing these reports. Each completed report must be an accurate and comprehensive portrayal of the individual reported on.
- 3. Fitness reports on all officers of the Marine Corps and Marine Corps Reserve will be submitted on the Officer Fitness Report, Form NAVMC 10147-PD, enclosed herewith.
- 4. Fitness reports will be submitted on commissioned officers of the Marine Corps and Marine Corps Reserve on active duty at the following times:
 - a. Semiannually in accordance with the following schedule:
- (1) Lieutenant, commissioned warrant officers and warrant officers last day of June and December.
 - (2) Captains and above last day of February and August.
 - b. Upon transfer of the individual reported on.
 - c. Upon change of the individual submitting the report.
 - d. When directed by the Commandant of the Marine Corps.
- e. At the discretion of the reporting senior when it appears that the duty performance of a subordinate should become a matter of record prior to the submission of the next regularly scheduled report.
- 5. Prompt submission of fitness reports is necessary to provide complete records for selection boards and other using agencies. The individual submitting the report will, therefore, prepare and submit fitness reports within 15 days after the terminal date of the period covered. In cases in which the reporting senior is absent at the time reports are due, he will submit such reports within 15 days from the date of his return. To avoid the submission of reports for brief periods, the reporting senior may omit the submission of reports (except "unsatisfactory reports" as defined in the note below) in the following situations:

- a. In cases in which it is known that either the reporting senior will be changed or the individual reported on will be transferred within 30 days after the date the regular semiannual report is due, the report may be delayed until the transfer date to cover the additional period. In such cases both of the appropriate boxes in Item 4 will be checked and explained in the space below.
- b. In case of transfer of the individual reported on or change of reporting senior within 60 days after the submission of the semiannual report, the reporting senior may, if his opinion remains the same, extend the semiannual report. For extended reports, Items 1 through 5 of Section A, Items 11 and 12 of Section B, Section E and Section F will be completed. In Section D, the reporting senior will indicate that the previous report is being extended. An example of a suitable entry is: "My opinion of this individual has not changed. Submitted for extension of previous report ending (Semmiannual Date) to include the period shown above.
- c. Upon change of either the reporting senior or transfer of the individual reported on, when the reporting senior has had no opportunity to observe the duty performance of the individual reported on, he may submit a "Not Observed" report. For "Not Observed" periods, Section A, Items 1 through 5, Section B, Items 11 and 12 and Section E will be completed and in Section D, the reporting senior will indicate that the report is for a "Not Observed" period. On "Not Observed" reports if the period of observation, (i.e., period covered by the report less periods of nonavailability as indicated in Item 6), exceeds 30 days, the reporting senior will explain the circumstances in Section D.
- d. The semiannual report may be omitted if the period of observation, as defined above, is 30 days or less, however, the reporting senior will include the entire period in the next report he submits on the individual being reported on.

Note: An "unsatisfactory report" is defined as any report that contains:

- 1. An entry of "unsatisfactory" in Items 16, 17, or 19.
- 2. An entry of "prefer not to have" in Item 18.
- 3. An unfavorable remark in Section D.
- 6. Except for "not observed" and "extended" reports an entry will be made for each item of the Fitness Report form except Section G. If an item is not applicable, enter "none", "Not Applicable" or an "X" for "Not Observed" as appropriate. Each erasure or deletion on the form must be initialed in ink by the reporting senior. All entries should be legible and typing is preferred in Section A, (except signature): Section B, Items 11 and 12. All other entries will be completed in PEN and INK.
- 7. Specific instructions for completing the Fitness Report form are as follows:
 - a. Section A will be completed by the Military Personnel Division.
 - b. Section B:
- (1) Enter the duty assignment of the reporting senior to reflect his duty relationship to the individual reported on, (i.e., Chief of Division, Chief of Branch, etc.).
 - (2) Item 14:

- (a) Letters or reports which represent nonpunitive measures as defined in paragraph 128c, Manual for Courts-Martial, United States, 1951, will not be attached or referenced on the fitness report of the individual reported on.
- (b) Letters of commendation and appreciation not forwarded to the Commandant of the Marine Corps will be attached to the fitness report. Letters forwarded during the reporting period will be referenced on the fitness report. Letters which relate to the efficiency of the unit as a whole are considered to be a report on the commanding officer and not any particular individual. Letters of appreciation from a person or organization not within normal chain of command are considered appropriate for attachment to the fitness report.

c. Section C:

When rating an individual the reporting senior should consider carefully the following definitions, taking into consideration the individual's length of service, the opportunities afforded him which might have a bearing on his perfermance of duty, his personal characteristics, and his general professional qualifications:

NOT OBSERVED. . . Where the reporting senior has had insufficient opportunity to observe the individual reported on.

UNSATISFACTORY. . Inefficient; below minimum standards.

BELOW AVERAGE . . Passably efficient; satisfactory up to a minimum standard.

AVERAGE Qualified; efficient to the generally accepted standard.

ABOVE AVERAGE . . Highly qualified and efficient.

EXCELIENT . . . Exceptionally efficient; qualified to a degree seldom achieved by others in his grade.

OUTSTANDING . . . One of the very few cutstanding individuals of his grade known to the person completing the report.

d. Section D:

(1) Comments in Section D concerning the professional character of the individual reported on are mandatory. Such comments should provide for amplification of other entries on the report and further information of the potentialities, capabilities and limitations of the individual reported on. In formulating these comments, the grade of the individual reported on and the length of his military service and time in grade should be considered. Because each person is an individual with his cwn strengths and weaknesses, no hard and fast rules concerning suitable entries can be made. Therefore, steretyped evaluations should be avoided.

(2) The following general principles will be followed:

- (a) Comments concerning the general military competence of the individual being reported on, his capacity to handle assignments of increased responsibility, particularly those involving command; the manner in which he has been performing his assigned duties with his organization; his growth potential; his ability to learn and adapt rapidly; any special abilities he may possess; and his self-improvement efforts are illustrative of the characteristics which might be reflected in the evaluation.
- (b) Recommendations for promotion are not desired and should be made only when specifically requested by the Commandant of the Marine Corps.
 - (3) The following specific instructions will be followed:
- (a) An outstanding or unsatisfactory mark in section 16A and 19 will be specifically justified in section D or supplemental sheet. State also in section D total number individuals of same rank reported on during same reporting occasion and indicate number of those marked as outstanding, excellent, above average, etc., under item General Value to Service.
- (b) Comments and recommendations pertaining to retention in aviation, retention on extended active duty in the Reserve Program, etc., should be made only when specifically requested by the Commandant of the Marine Corps.

8. Referral of Report to Individual Reported on.

- a. Reporting seniors will not refer the completed fitness report to individual reported on except in those cases where report contains material considered as unsatisfactory.
- b. The individual reported on will complete Section F of the report, indicating that he has no statement to make, or that he has made and attached a statement only when the report contains material as unsatisfactory.
- 9. All completed fitness reports will be forwarded to the Chief, Military Personnel Division for review and completion of Section G of the fitness report form.

	STED PERFOR 6-59)	IMMINUE EN	ATION	1000	: CIA-RDI		PERIO REPO		То	
			<u> </u>		- I p.	ATE ABB.	PRESENT SHIP			
ast, First, I	iidd le)			SERVICE NO	J. N	TIE ROD.				
					RUCTIONS			1 4 12		did Denemika
performance	, evaluate the m was not observe th others of the ortion of his wo	d, check the same rate.	'Not Observed'	" box.	what 4. Pick	he did in th the phrase w	ting period, ev e ''Comments'' hich best fits it. (Left box	section. the man in e	ach trait	
	IAL PERFORMA					duties (exc	ept SUPERVISO	RY)		
NCT BSEPVED	Extremely eff reliable. Wor	fective and	Highly effect liable. Needs ited supervis	ive and re-	Effective and Needs occasion.	d reliable.	Adequate, but	needs rou-		nte. Needs compervision.
	*								*	*
LITARY E	BEHAVIOR: Ho	w well he acc	epts authority	and conforms	to standards	of military	behavior.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
NOT BSERVED	Always acts in est tradition Navy.	in the high-	Willingly fol mands and reg	llows com-	Conforms to standards.		Usually obeys and regulation sionally lax	ons. Occa-	1	s and flouts . Unseamanlik
	*								*	*
EADERSHI	AND SUPER'	VISORY AB	 L TY: His a	ability to pl	an and assign	work to other	s and effective	ely direct th	eir activ	ities.
NOT BSERVED			Handles men v			esults from	Usually gets results.		i	pervisor.
	*								*	*
	APPEARANCE :	Nie militare	L appearance of	d neatness i	n person and d	ress.	.1			
NOT BSERVED	Impressive. Naval unifo	Wears orm with	1	and correct		Navy stand-	Passable. S careless in	ometimes appearance.	No cred Service	it to the Nav
	great pride			Ţ	<u> </u>				*	*
DARTABIL	ITY: How well	he gets along	g and works wit	h others.		L		<u> </u>		
NOT BSERVED		exceptions1ly		very well . Contrib-	A good shi	omate. Helps	Gets along with others		A misfi	t.
	*			T					*	*
ESCRIPTI	ON OF ASSIG	NED TASKS								
VALUATIO	ON OF PERFOR	MANCE)				
								<u>.</u>		
		-								
	ENG MUST DI	- 11187151	ED BY COM	MENTS IN	ADDITION	TO THOSE		ABOVE		
THESE IT	EMS MUST BI	E JUSTIFIE	ED BY COM	MENTS IN	ADDITION	то тноsі	E IN ITEM 7	ABOVE		
THESE IT	EMS MUST BI	E JUSTIFIE	ED BY COM	MENTS IN	ADDITION	то тноsі	E IN ITEM 7	ABOVE		
THESE ITI	EMS MUST B	E JUSTIFIE	ED BY COM	MENTS IN	ADDITION	то тноѕі	E IN ITEM 7	ABOVE		
			ED BY COM	MENTS IN			E IN ITEM 7		ORTING	SUPERIOR
	EMS MUST BI	N G	ED BY COMI	MENTS IN	ADDITION				ORTING	SUPERIOR

Approved For Release 2002/01/10 : CIA-RDP78-03578A000700100006-9

NAVPERS 792 (Rev. 6-59)

12. SERVICE SCHOOL(S) ATTENDED DURIN	G PERIOD OF THIS REPORT		
INCLUSIVE DATES	SCH00L	GRADUATED (YES-NO)	CLASS STANDING
}		i	
		i.	
		ļ	
		i	
		į	
}			

^{13.} SPECIAL QUALIFICATIONS NOT INDICATED BY RATING OR PRIMARY NEC ATTAINED DURING PERIOD OF THIS REPORT

^{14.} OFF-DUTY EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENTS (USAFI, college courses, correspondence cources, etc.) COMPLETED DURING PERIOD OF THIS REPORT.

														
Com	far	my C.	5R1	A)E	ease	200:	2/01/1	· CIΔ-		8-07	00700100			
I INCMT	TEICATIO	ON DATA)DLOV		lease	2004	2/0 // 10	U : CIA-	KDF /		100700100 2	000-5		
		UN DATA	MIDDLE IN	IITIAL			2.	GRADE		3. PERMANENT	AF GRADE	4. /	AFSN	
5. AERON	AUTICAL I	ATING						PAFSC		7. PERIOD OF	PERCOT			
3. AEROIN	AUTICAL P	AIING					"	PArac		FROM	REPORT	то		
8. ORGAN		-						DEDIOD OF			OR REPORT	110		
a. ORGAN	IIZATION						y .	PERIOD OF SUPERVISIO	N	10. REASON F	OK KEPOKI			
II. DUTI	ES													
III DED	EODM A 1	NCE EACT	DRS (Co.)		: (ONLY	ak _ff:	and all						
			JK2 (COL	npare rr	nis officer (UNLT	VITN OTTI	cers of th	e same	gradej				
1. JOB		DGE GAPS IN HIS		1		- /				HAS EXCELLENT		T	11blm	
NOT	KNOWLE	GAPS IN HIS DGE OF FUN- ALS OF HIS JO	-	KNOWLE	ATISFACTORY DGE OF ROUTII OF HIS JOB.	NE		INFORMED O		OF ALL PHASES JOB.		STANDING	PTIONAL UND OF HIS JOB ORMED ON AI	EXTREMELY
OBSERVED	L						<u> </u>	İ						
2. COOF	PERATION	<u> </u>												
NOT	FRICTION	D TO CREATE I. DOES NOT WELL WITH O		TO OTHE	AES INDIFFEREN RS. COOPERATI IR DEGREE,		GETS ALC MOST PE	ONG WELL W	ITH .	WORKS IN HAR OTHERS, A VER' TEAM WORKER.		IN WORK	Y SUCCESSFUI ING WITH OT PROMOTES H	THERS.
OBSERVED				1					-,					
3. JUDG	MENT													
NOT	RECOMM	ISIONS OR ENDATIONS A MORE OFTEN GHT.		OR MISI	NE TO NEGLEC INTERPRET FAC S OCCASIONA IN JUDGMENT	TS.		NT IS USUALL'		HIS JUDGMENT RESULTS FROM EVALUATION OF FACTORS INVOL	SOUND ALL THE	LOGICAL 1	DINGLY SOUN THINKER WITH PTIONAL GRAS ATION INVOLV	H SP OF
OBSERVED	<u> </u>													
4. MANA	AGEMENT	T QUALITIE	S											
NOT OBSERVED	CONSERV	IVE IN THE VATION OF MINOMICAL USE WER.		AND MA	MEN, MONEY, TERIALS IN A SATISFACTORY	,	MENTING	VES MEN, MO TERIALS BY IN S AND MAINT STINE MANAG JRES.	APLE-	IS EFFECTIVE IN PLISHING SAVIN MONEY, AND M DEVELOPING IM AGEMENT PROC	IGS IN MEN, ATERIALS BY PROVED MAN-	IN THE UT	NALLY EFFECT TILIZATION O NEY, AND S.	
i			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			L	1		<u> </u>				
5. LEADE	ERSHIP													
NOT		COMMAND. TO EXERT		INSTANC	ES IN SOME LES TO OBTAIN E COOP-		COOPER/ TEAMWO	S ADEQUATE ATION AND RK UNDER CIRCUMSTAN	CES.	COMMANDS RESUBORDINATES. EVEN UNDER DECIRCUMSTANCES	IS EFFECTIVE FFICULT	DIRECTING	DING SKILL II G OTHERS. IN NCE EVEN UN FICULT CIRCUA	ISPIRES IDER
OBSERVED		<u> </u>	<u></u>				L			<u> </u>		<u>L</u>		L
		ION FACILI	iTY							 				
NOT	THOUGHT	TO EXPRESS TS CLEARLY. RGANIZATION	I .	SATISFAC	ES THOUGHTS TORILY ON MATTERS.		THOUGH	ZES AND EXPR TS CLEARLY AN ELY ON ROUTIN	1D	EXCELLENT COM WRITTEN AND C SION. CONSIST TO EXPRESS IDE CLEARLY.	RAL EXPRES- ENTLY ABLE	COMMUNI OTHERS TI	DING ABILITY ICATE IDEAS HROUGH WRI L EXPRESSION	TO ITTEN
OBSERVED			ļ									<u> </u>]
7. PROM	NOITO	POTENTIAL												
NOT	PRESENT HIS CAPA	LY LIMITED. JOB IS TAXINABILITIES.	4G		GRADE IS ISURATE WITH		FOR FUR	CAPACITY THER GROWTH MAL RATE.	l	VERY PROMISIN TIONAL MATERIA BLE OF INCREAS RESPONSIBILITY ADVANCEMENT.	L. CAPA- ED	TIONAL O BE CONSI RAPID PRO	THE FEW EXCI DEFICERS. SHO IDERED FOR A OMOTION THA TEMPORARIES.	OULD MORE AN
OBSERVED			Ĺ	L	<u> </u>							<u> </u>		
8. ADDIT	IONAL F	ACTORS												
	FACI	TORS		INA	DEQUATE		SATISFAC	TORY		OMPETENT AND EFFICIENT	EXCELLE	NT	OUTSTA	ANDING
a.				<u> </u>										
ь. ———				<u></u>									ļ	
C.		_				L		التنسا					i .	

		d 45 to b 44 to 16	A SE LEU WAY		AsRDM784Ai	PART NOOLOO	100006-8	
UNSATISFACTORY	MARGINAL	AN ACCEPT	ABLE OFFICER	A DEPENE	PABLE AND TYPICALLY	A VERY FINE OF VALUE TO T	FICER OF GREAT HE SERVICE	ONE OF THE VERY FEW OUT STANDING OFFICERS KNOW.
V COMMENT	C UE DEDUDI	NC OFFICIAL	/n / h					
T. COMMENT) UF KEFURII	NG UFFICIAL	(Be tactual an	d specific.	Add comments	which increase t	he objectivity	of the rating.)
		-						
							•	
() AUTUENTIC	71011 011 011	<u> </u>						
I. AUTHENTICA	ALLON BY REP	ORTING OFFI						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	I, AND ORGANIZA	MION	DUTY TITLE			SIGNATURE		
						DATE		
II. REVIEW BY	INDORSING	OFFICIAL					· <u> </u>	
ME, GRADE, AFSN	AND ORGANIZATI	ON	DUTY TITLE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SIGNATURE		
						DATE		
			L			i		T I

	CATION DATA		10 CIA-RDP78-0		16-9AND ab
	CATION DATA FIRST NAMEMIDDLE INITIAL	2. AFSN		3. ACTIVE DUTY GRADE	4. PERMANENT AF GRADE
	ON COMMENT WAS COMMENT	4 45000	IAUTICAL RATING	7. PERIOD OF REPORT	
ORGANIZATI	ON, COMMAND AND LOCATION	o. AERON			то
		8. RATING		9. REASON FOR REPORT	
. DUTIES	—PAFSC E	DAFSC			
D # =	G FACTORS (Consider he	ow this officer is no-f-	a on his inh		
I. JOB CA		on and onicer is performin	.g > 1113 (UV.)		
NOT	HAS GAPS IN	HAS A SATIS-	HAS EXCELLENT	HAS AN EX-	HAS A FAR-
\bigcap	FUNDAMENTAL KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS	FACTORY KNOWL- EDGE AND SKILL FOR	IS WELL SKILLED ON ALL	UNDERSTANDING AND	OF HIS ENTIRE BROAD
OBSERVED	OF HIS JOB.	THE ROUTINE PHASES OF HIS JOB.	PHASES OF HIS JOB.	SKILL ON ALL PHASES OF HIS JOB.	JOB AREA. AUTHORITY IN HIS FIELD.
	ING ABILITY				
NOT	RELIES ON OTHERS	PLANS AHEAD	IS A CAREFUL	CAPABLE OF PLAN-	CAPABLE OF TOP LEVEL
	LEMS TO HIS ATTENTION.	JUST ENOUGH TO GET BY IN HIS	NER. ANTICIPATES AND	REQUIREMENTS OF THE	PLANNING; A HIGH
OBSERVED	OFTEN FAILS TO SEE AHEAD.	PRESENT JOB.	TAKES ACTION TO SOLVE PROBLEMS.	PRESENT JOB; SEES THE BIG PICTURE.	CALIBER THINKER AND PLANNER.
	TIVE MANAGEMENT				
NOT	IS A POOR	MAINTAINS	GIVES ECONOMY	MAINTAINS EFFECTIVE	HIGHLY SKILLED IN BALANCING
	ORGANIZER. DOES NOT REALLY MAKE	ORDINARY EFFICIENCY OF OPER-	CAREFUL ATTENTION.	ECONOMY. CAREFULLY	COST AGAINST RESULTS
OBSERVED	EFFECTIVE USE OF MATERIEL OR MANPOWER.	ATION. CONTROL COULD BE IMPROVED.	MAKES WISE USE OF MAN- POWER AND MATERIEL.	WEIGHS COST AGAINST EXPECTED RESULTS.	TO OBTAIN OPTIMUM EFFECTIVENESS.
4. LEADE					
NOT	OFTEN WEAK IN	NORMALLY DEVEL-	CONSISTENTLY A	EXCEPTIONAL SKILL IN	LEADERSHIP QUALITIES
()	TIONS. AT TIMES UN-	QUATE CONTROL AND	GOOD LEADER. COMMANDS RESPECT OF	DIRECTING OTHERS TO	REFLECT POTENTIAL FOR HIGHEST LEVEL
OBSERVED	ABLE TO EXERT CONTROL.	TEAMWORK.	HIS SUBORDINATES.	GREAT EFFORT.	. S. THOREST LEVEL.
	TIVE JUDGMENT				
NOT	DECISIONS AND RECOMMENDA-	HIS JUDGMENT	DISPLAYS GOOD JUDGMENT,	AN EXCEPTION- ALLY SOUND,	HAS A KNACK FOR ARRIVING
()	TIONS ARE SOMETIMES	SOUND AND REASON-	RESULTING FROM SOUND	LOGICAL THINKER IN SITUATIONS WHICH	AT THE RIGHT DECISION, EVEN ON HIGHLY COM-
OBSERVED	UNSOUND OR INEFFEC- TIVE.	ABLE, WITH OCCASIONAL ERRORS.	EVALUATION, HE IS EFFECTIVE.	OCCUR ON HIS JOB.	PLEX MATTERS.
	N RELATIONS				
NOT	DOES NOT GET ALONG WELL WITH	HE HAS DIFFI-	GETS ALONG WITH		OUTSTANDING SKILL IN
()	PEOPLE; DEFINITELY	TING ALONG WITH HIS	HAS AVERAGE SKILL	TIONS ARE AN ASSET. HAS ABOVE AVERAGE SO-	GETTING ALONG WITH PEOPLE; INCREASES HIS
OBSERVED	HINDERS HIS EFFEC- TIVENESS.	ASSOCIATES.	AT MAINTAINING GOOD HUMAN RELATIONS.	CIAL POISE AND ABILITY.	EFFECTIVENESS.
	NG ABILITY AND ORAL EX	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
NOT	UNABLE TO EXPRESS THOUGHTS CLEARLY.	EXPRESSES THOUGHTS SATISFACTORILY ON	USUALLY ORGANIZES AND EXPRESSES THOUGHTS	EXPRESS IDEAS	OUTSTANDING ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE
w) (s)	THOUGHTS CLEARLY.	ROUTINE MATTERS.	CLEARLY AND CONCISELY.	CLEARLY.	IDEAS TO OTHERS.
OBSERVED	WRITE SPEAK	WRITE SPEAK	WRITE SPEA	AK WRITE SPEA	K WRITE SPEAK
8. JOB A	CCOMPLISHMENT		T		
NOT	QUALITY OR QUANTITY OF HIS	PERFORMANCE IS BARELY ADEQUATE	QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF	PERFORMANCE IS ABOVE NORMAL	QUALITY AND QUANTITY OF
()	WORK DOES NOT ALWAYS MEET JOB REQUIREMENTS.	TO MEET JOB REQUIRE-	HIS WORK ARE VERY SATISFACTORY.	EXPECTATIONS FOR MEET- ING JOB REQUIREMENTS.	HIS WORK ARE CLEARLY SUPERIOR.
OBSERVED					
	ER QUALITIES (Consider	r how this officer meets Ai			
NOT	BEARING OR BEHAVIOR INTER-	CARELESS BEAR-	BEARING AND BEHAVIOR	ESPECIALLY GOOD BEHAVIOR AND	BEARING AND BEHAVIOR ARE
()	FERE SERIOUSLY WITH HIS	IOR DETRACT FROM HIS	CREATE A GOOD	BEARING. CREATES A VERY FAVORABLE	OUTSTANDING. HE EXEMPLIFIES TOP
、 /	EFFECTIVENESS.	EFFECTIVENESS.	IMPRESSION.	3578400070010000	C CHUTARY STANDARD

V. OVER-	ALL EVAL	ADON GO	par Perisonne	F 2007/0	1/10 : CIA PDP78-	03578A000 ade.)	7001000	06-9	
Specific	justification r r these section	equired		. 4. 4. 4.			Specif	ic justification re	equired)
1	11	***	11111	****		*****	111))	
AN UNSATIS- FACTORY OFFICER	A MARGINAL OFFICER	BELOW AVER- AGE EFFECT- IVENESS	SLIGHTLY BE- LOW AVERAGE EFFECTIVE- NESS		AN EFFECTIVE, COMPETENT, OFFICER IN THIS GRADE	EFFECTIVE- NESS WELL ABOVE MOST	EXCELLENT OFFICER SELDOM	OUTSTAND- ING OFFICER ALMOST NEVER	ABSOLUTEI SUPERIOR OFFICER
VI. PROMO	TION POT	ENTIAL				OFFICERS	EQUALED	EQUALED	
PRE LIM	SENT JOB IS TA	XING HIS CAPA ON POTENTIAL.	BILITIES. POSSES	SSES	PERFORMING WEI	LL IN PRESENT GRA	ADE. SHOULI	D BE CONSIDERED	FOR
VII. COMM	ALTOURING ALT	ASED RESPONSI EAD OF CONTE	BILITY. CONSIDE MPORARIES.	R FOR	GENERAL OFFICER	R MATERIAL BASED CE. PROMOTE W	ON DEMONS	TRATED GROWTH	POTENTIAL IES.
III. REPOR	ING OFFIC	IAI						_	
AME, GRADE, AF			DUT	Y TITLE		SIGNATURE			
			AERO	O RATING		DATE			
C. REVIEW	BY INDORS	ING OFFICIA	AL						
ME, GRADE, AFSI	N, AND ORGANI	ZATION	DUTY	TITLE		SIGNATURE			
			AERO	RATING		DATE			

I. IDENTI	FICATION DATA AREAL AFA	A 36EV Metally before	Diano dun any i	RHALRDP7	8-035 000700	10000	16-9
	ME-FIRST NAME-MIDDLE INITI		AFSN	DIM I TOT I	3. ACTIVE SAY GRADE		
							4. PERMANENT GRADE
5. ORGANI	ZATION, COMMAND AND LOCAT	10N 6. /	AERO RATING	CODE	7. PERIOD OF REPORT		L
				_	FROM:	TO:	
}		8. F	PERIOD OF SUPERV	ISION	9. REASON FOR REPORT		
II. DUTIES	S—PAFSC	DAFSC					
l			•				
ł							
Ĭ							
		9					
III. RATIN	G FACTORS (Consider how	this officer is performing	on his job.)				
I. KNOWL	EDGE OF DUTIES				····		
NOT	SERIOUS GAPS IN HIS KNOW EDGE OF FUNDAMENTALS O	L- SATISFACTORY KNOWLEDGE OF ROUTINE PHASES OF I	WELL INFORA	AED ON MOST	EXCELLENT KNOWLEDG	E OF EX	CEPTIONAL UNDERSTANDING
\cup	HIS JOB.	JOB.	THASES OF F	115 JOB.	ALL PHASES OF HIS JOB.	01	HIS JOB. EXTREMELY WELL FORMED ON ALL
OBSERVED] [\Box		ז∖ ר		ASES.
2. PERFOR	MANCE OF DUTIES		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
NOT	QUALITY OR QUANTITY OF		ILY QUANTITY A	ND QUALITY O	F PRODUCES VERY HIGH Q	IIAN- OI	JALITY AND QUANTITY OF
	WORK OFTEN FAILS TO MEE JOB REQUIREMENTS.	MINIMUM JOB REQUIREMENT	IS. WORK ARE VE	RY SATISFACTOR	Y TITY AND QUALITY OF W	ORK. W	ORK ARE CLEARLY SUPERIOR
OBSERVED		ק ור	¬	£	PENSES.	^^	ID TIMELY.
3. EFFECTIV	ENESS IN WORKING WITH	OTHERS			J	<u> </u>	
NOT	INEFFECTIVE IN WORKING WIT		IN GETS ALONG	WELL WITH	WORKS III		
\bigcirc	OTHERS. DOES NOT CO- OPERATE.	GETTING ALONG WITH OTHERS.		R NORMAL CIR		D W	TREMELY SUCCESSFUL IN ORKING WITH OTHERS.
OBSERVED	<u></u>]	ק כטאואועניט	·	TEAM WORKER.		TIVELY PROMOTES
4. LEADERS	HIP CHARACTERISTICS	<u> </u>					
NOT	OFTEN WEAK. FAILS TO SHOW	INITIATIVE AND ACCEPTANC	E SATISFACTORIL	V DEMON	T		
$\tilde{\Box}$	INITIATIVE AND ACCEPT RE	OF RESPONSIBILITY ADEQUATION MOST SITUA-	TE STRATES INITIA	ATIVE AND AC-	GREE OF INITIATIVE AND	STA	MAYS DEMONSTRATES OUT-
OBSERVED	_	TIONS.	T CEPIS RESPON	SIBILITY.	ACCEPTANCE OF RESPONSIBILITY.	AC	CEPTANCE OF
5. JUDGEM	FNT		<u> </u>		L		
NOT	DECISIONS AND RECOMMEN	JUDGEMENT IS USUALLY	1				
	DATIONS OFTEN WRONG OR	SOUND BUT MAKES OCCA-			SOUND, LOGICAL THIN	KER. CO	NSISTENTLY ARRIVES AT HT DECISION EVEN ON
OBSERVED		SIONAL ERRORS.	FACTORS.	OF	REACH ACCURATE DECISIONS.	ĮHIG	HLY COMPLEX
OBSERVED	11 1737]	_			
6. ADAPTAB	UNABLE TO PERFORM ADE-	1					
NOT	QUATELY IN OTHER THAN	DER STRESS OR IN OTHER THAN	I- PERFORMS WEL N OR IN UNUSUA	L UNDER STRESS	PERFORMANCE EXCELLEN		STANDING PERFORMANCE
OBCERVED	TIONS.	ROUTINE SITUA-	_[DIFFICULT SITUA-	THE	ER EXTREME STRESS. MEETS CHALLENGE OF DIFFICULT
OBSERVED][Silu	ATIONS.
7. USE OF R						==1	
NOT	INEFFECTIVE IN CONSERVA- TION OF RESOURCES.	USES RESOURCES IN A BARELY SATISFACTORY MANNER.	CONSERVES B	Y USING ROU-	EFFECTIVELY ACCOMPLISH SAVINGS BY DEVELOPING		EPTIONALLY EFFECTIVE IN
\bigcirc	MATERIEL PERSONNEL				PROVED PROCEDURES.	יובט - איי	IG RESOURCES.
OBSERVED		MATERIEL PERSONNEL	MATERIEL	PERSONNEL	MATERIEL PERSONNE		MATERIEL PERSONNEL
. WRITING	ABILITY AND ORAL EXPRESSI						
NOT	UNABLE TO EXPRESS THOUGHTS CLEARLY. LACKS	EXPRESSES THOUGHTS SATIS- FACTORILY ON ROUTINE MAT-	USUALLY ORGA PRESSES THOU		CONSISTENTLY ABLE TO	EX- OUT	STANDING ABILITY TO
$\mathbb{W}^{(5)}$	ORGANIZATION.	TERS.	AND CONCISELY	Y.	PRESS IDEAS CLEARLY.	COV	AMUNICATE IDEAS TO
OBSERVED	WRITE SPEAK	WRITE SPEAK	WRITE	SPEAK	WRITE SPEAK	¬ I —	WRITE SPEAK
V. MILITARY	Y QUALITIES (Consider how	this officer meets Air For	ce standards.)				
MOT	BEARING OR BEHAVIOR INTER- FERE SERIOUSLY WITH HIS	CARELESS BEARING AND BE- HAVIOR DETRACT FROM HIS	BEARING AND B	EHAVIOR CRE-	ESPECIALLY GOOD BEHAVE	OR BEAR	ING AND BEHAVIOR ARE
	EFFECTIVENESS.	EFFECTIVENESS.	ATE A GOOD IN	APRESSION.	AND BEARING. CREATES	A OUTS	STANDING. HE EXEMPLI-
OBSERVED					IMPRESSION.		IDARDS.

VI. PROMOTION POTENTIAL 1. DOSE NOT DEMONSTRATE A CAPABILITY FOR PROMOTION AT THIS 2. PERFORMING WELL IN PRESENT GRADE. SHOULD BE CONSIDERED 3. DEMONSTRATE A CAPABILITY FOR PROMOTION AT THIS 4. OUTSTANDING GROWTH FOTENTIAL BASED ON DEMONSTRATED VII. COMMENTS VII. COMMENTS VII. COMMENTS Date of letest performance counseling MARE, GRADE, ASIN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE ARRO BATING DUTY TITLE ARRO BATING CODE DATE ARRO BATING DUTY TITLE ARRO BATING CODE DATE	V. OVER-ALL EVALUATION (Compare this office	elease 2002/01/10 : Cl/ er ONLY with officers of the san	_RDP78-035 ne grade.)	78A0007001000	006-9	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE A CAPABILITY FOR PROMOTION AT THIS	UNSATIS- MARGINAL BELOW AVERAGE	EFFECTIVE AND COM	PETENT	VERY FINE	EXCEPTIONALLY	
Demonstrates capabilities for increased responsibility. Demonstrates capabilities for increased responsibility. CONSIDER FOR ADVANCEMENT ANEAD OF CONTEMPORABLES. 4. DESTABLING CROWNIT POTENTIAL SAED ON DEMONSTRATED PERFORMANCE. PROMOTE WELL AREAD OF CONTEMPORABLES. VII. COMMENTS Date of latest performance counseling JULY TITLE AERO BATINO DUTY TITLE AERO BATINO DUTY TITLE AERO BATINO CODE DATE AREA GRADE, A'SN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AGENCE ATSN, AND ORGANIZATION						
VII. COMMENIS VII. COMMENIS Date of latest performance counseling Duty title AERO BATINO DUTY TITLE SIGNATURE AGROADE, A'SN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE SIGNATURE AGROADE, A'SN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE SIGNATURE AGRO BATINO CODE DATE AGRO BATINO CODE DATE	IIME.	F	ERFORMING WELL IN I OR PROMOTION ALOR	PRESENT GRADE. SHOULD NG WITH CONTEMPORARIE	BE CONSIDERED S.	
VIII. REPORTING OFFICIAL Date of latest performance counseling AAME, GRADE, AFSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AERO BATING CODE DATE ARE, GRADE, AFSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AREO BATING CODE DATE AREO BATING CODE DATE	CONSIDER FOR ADVANCEMENT AHEAD OF CONTEN		UTSTANDING GROW RFORMANCE, PRO	TH POTENTIAL BASED ON MOTE WELL AHEAD OF CO	DEMONSTRATED INTEMPORARIES	
AME, GRADE, AFSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AERO RATING CODE DATE AME, GRADE, AFSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE SIGNATURE AME, GRADE, AFSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE SIGNATURE AERO RATING CODE DATE						
AERO RATING CODE DATE X. REVIEW BY INDORSING OFFICIAL AME, GRADE, AFSN, AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE AERO RATING CODE DATE	/III. REPORTING OFFICIAL	Date of late	est performance co	unseling		
AME, GRADE, AFSN. AND ORGANIZATION DUTY TITLE SIGNATURE AERO RATING CODE DATE	NAME, GRADE, AFSN, AND ORGANIZATION	DUTY TITLE		SIGNATURE		
	X. REVIEW BY INDORSING OFFICIAL AME, GRADE, AFSN, AND ORGANIZATION		CODE			
	Approved Fo)0C-0-	

Approved Release 2002/01/10 : CIA-RDP78-01 BA000700100006-9 READ CAREFULLY REFERENCED SECTION IN AR 623-105 BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO PILL OUT ANY ITEM

				PARTI	DEDCOM	AL DAT		and Sentine EV. AD. 622			An					
1. LAST	NAME - F	IRST NAM		E INITIAL		AL DATA	A (Re	ead Section IV, AR 623- 2. SERVICE NUMBER	3. GRADE	A DATE OF DAY	~		NCH			A APM
								- JERVICE RUMBER	J. GRADE	4 DATE OF RAN	ĸ	BASIC	DETAIL	YES		МО
7. 11917	OPEANIT	ATION -	TATIO: *	ND 144	501							1				
URIT	, URGANIZ	ATION, S	TATION A	ND MAJOR	COMMAND	,										
				PA	RT II - RI	EPORTII	NG P	ERIOD AND DUTY DA	TA (Read Secti	ons IV and V, AR 623	3-10:	5)				
8.		PERIO	D COVER	RED		9. RE	ASO	N FOR RENDERING RI	EPORT (Check)	10. REPORT BA	SEC	ON (Check)	RAT	ER	IND	ORSE
	FROM			70			ANN	UAL		DAILY CONTACT		-				
DAY	MONTH	YEAR	DAY	MONTH	YEAR		CHA	NGE OF RATER		FREQUENT OBSER	VAT	lon				
		,			1			RATED OFFICER		INFRÈQUENT OBSE						
DUTYD	AYS	<u> </u>	OTHER	DAYS	-l	1		NGE OF DUTY FOR RAT	ED OFFICER	RECORDS AND REF						
			1					ER (Specify)		OTHER (Specify)		·				
			1										ļ	1		
				····		1	DU	TY ASSIGNMENT FOR	RATED PERIO	D						
11. PRIN	CIPAL DU	ITY	12. DUT			13. AU1										
			MOS			GR	ADE									
I4. MAJO	R ADDITI	ONAL DU	TIES													
					PART	111 - MA	HHE	R OF PERFORMANCE	(Read paragrap	h 21c, AR 623-105)						
5. RATI	ER															
16. INDO	RSER				-											
		I AM U	NABLE TO	O EVALUA	TE THIS O	FFICER	FOR	THE FOLLOWING REASO	ON:							
								•								

RATED OFF	ICERS NA	PROYE	ade noue t	kelea	se 20	02/01	/10 : CIA	-RDP78-03	576A00	070010	20008-) 		
		PART IV	· PERSON	AL QUA	LITIES (Read para	graph 21d, AR	623-105)		P/	RT V - AP	PRAISAL OF	F OUAL IFIC	TIONS
LEGEND	DEGREE	1						BOVE AVERAGE	EXEMPLARY			aragraph 21e		
LLOCKE	NUMBER	.0		1	.2		.3	.4	.5		DUTIE	.s	RATER	INDORSER
RATER	INDORSER	S ADART	ABIL 199 /4a	diunta to						а. сомм	AND A TAC	TICAL UNIT		1
·		a. ADAPI	ABILITY (AG	ajusts to	new or c	nanging s	situations & at	resses; bears up o	under pressu	re)	NON-TACT		 	
		5. AMBITI	ON (Seeks an	nd welco	mes addi	tional and	d more importa	nt responsibilities)	C. STAF		PERSONNEL	 	1
								rt, and well-groom		UNI	ד (ט)	INTEL.	1 ,	
							as a team men			_	RAL (G)	OPERATION:		}
		e. DEPEN	DABILITY (C	Consiste	ntly acco	mplishes	desired action	ns with minimum e	upervision)		DR	LOGISTICS	·	
		f. ENTHU	SIASM (Motiv	vates oth	ers by hi	s zeal)				7	NT (I)	R & D	+	
		g. EXPRE	SSION (Expre	esses hi	mself cle	arly and	concisely both	orally and in writ	ing)	⊣ ""	11 (3)	COMPT	+	-
			(Executes a							- a.	SPECIAL S		 	
		i. INGENU	UITY (Finds	solution	s to prob	lema rega	rdless of obst	acles)		RATER	(Specify)			
							action on his	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		INDORSE	R (Specify	-,		
							rps concepts re		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-				
							tical decision			е.	SPECIAL	IST		
								and subordinates)		RATER	(Specify)			
	•							d up and be counte		INDORS	R (Specify	;		
								epted standards)		-	()	,		
			MPROVEMENT											
								ommunity activitie	18)	_	THER US F	ORCES		ľ
	•							and mental stress)		+			 	
	_ <u>:</u>							cessary offense)		┥	FOREIGN FO			
	•						son's viewpoin		·		VERNMENT			
	<u>.</u>		SCORE	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		outer per	son a viewpon			A. INSTRU	JCTOR			
	P.			EHONET	0.4750	25555				i. WITH R	ESERVE CO	MPONENTS		
		1	VERALL DE	EMUN3 I	KATED	PERFORI	MANCE AND E	STIMATED POTE				18, AR 623-1	(05)	· ···
DA	ATING									L DEMONS Erforman		ESTIM	ATED POTE	NTIAL
NA.	NI ING		EXPEC	TED DIS	STRIBUT	ION OF 1	00 OFFICERS	RATED	<u> </u>	(1)			(2)	
									RATER	VALUE	INDORSER	RATER	VALUE	INDORSER
b. EXCEPTION									*	96 - 100		ļ. <u>.</u>	10	
b. EXCEPTIO						4444	·	 ,	*	90 - 95	*		9	
c. SUPERIOR	1					<u> </u>	LAA .			80 - 89		ļ	8	
		- 		- 11	<u> </u>	******	**********			70 - 79			7	
d EXCELLE	NT	-			******	****				60 - 69		<u> </u>	6	
				- 11	*******	*****	***********			50 - 59			5	
e: EFFECTIV	/E					<u>miiiin</u>	<u> </u>			40 - 49			4	
						<u> </u>				30 - 39			3	
f. MARGINAL									*	20 - 29	*		2	
8- INADEQUA	TE								*	10 - 19	*		1	
								SCORE						
PART (Read	VII - NUME paragraph 2	RICAL V	ALUE 3-105)	17			PART V	III - AUTHENTIC	ATION (Rea	d paragraph	21i, AR 62	3-105)		
(Scores to	be entered i	y rater an	d indorser.	17. SIGI	NATURE	OF RATE	₹					DAT	E	
and ver	rified by a p	ersonnei o	ollicer)											
	SCOF	RES		TYPED	NAME, G	RADE, BR	ANCH, SERVIC	E NUMBER, ORGAN	IZATION, AN	D DUTY AS	SIGNMENT			
		RATER	INDORSER	1			*							
PARTI	v					·								
PART V	n (1)			18. SIGN	NATURE	F INDOR	SER					DAT	E	
PART V	1 (2)					<u> </u>								
TOTAL				TYPED	NAME, G	RADE, BR	ANCH, SERVIC	E NUMBER, ORGAN	IZATION, AN	D DUTY ASS	IGNMENT			
COMPO	SITE SCOR	ε												
9. REVIEWER	R (Read Sec	ion VI, AF	R 623-105)	uv :	REVIEW	- INDIA	ATES NO FUR	THER ACTION						
GIGNATURE O				m r l				GRADE, BRANCH,	SFRVICE N	MBER OPG	CTION STAT	AND DATE		EET
							DUTY ASSIGNA	AENT.		un, ord	······································	AND DATE	E	
												1		ı
.o.							L	21. DATE ENTERE	D ON DA FO	RM 66	22 DE	RSONNEL OF	Elden's	
THIS REI	PORT HAS		INCLO	SURFS	(Insert	"O" if an	propriate)		DA FO	••	PE	NOUNEL OF	FICER'S INITI	AL5
				a cones.	14310011	- 11 ap	r.vhitate)	<u> </u>		,				

Approved Release 2002/01/10: CIA-RDP78-03 000700100006-9 OFFICER FITNESS REPORT—U. S. MARINE CORPS NAVMC 10147-PD (REV. 2-57) (SUPERSEDES NAVMC 10147-PD (REV. 8-56) WHICH MAY BE USED, IF AVAILABLE, UNTIL 31 JANUARY 1958. ALL PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE GBSOLETE)

	(First name)	(Initial)	(Grade)	USMC	(Service nu mber)
2. ORGANIZATION					
3. PRIMARY MOS	Ar	ODITIONAL MOS'S			
I. OCCASION FOR REPORT (Check approp					
SEMIANNUAL DET	TACHMENT OF OFFICER REPORTED ON nter unit or station to which detached n line below)	CHA SEN	INGE OF REPORTING IOR	CONGURRENT REPORT	OTHER (Explain on line below
. PERIOD COVERED: FROM	(Day, month, year)	то	(Day, month	, year) MON	THS
PERIODS OF NONAVAILABILITY (30 DAYS	OR MORE) (Explain)				
7. DUTY ASSIGNMENTS DURING PERIOD C	OVERED: REGULAR (Dates, descriptive ti	itle, and duty M	08)		
ADDITIONAL (Descriptive title and num	nher of months)				
. WIFE'S ADDRESS.					
AGE, RELATIONSHIP OF DEPENDENTS RE	QUIRING TRANSPORTATION				
). OFFICER'S PREFERENCE FOR NEXT ASS (2d choice)	IGNMENT (1st choice)				
	(;	Signature of offic	er reported on)		(Date)
ECTION B (To be completed by report	ing senior)				
	ing senior)			GRADE	us
. NAME OF REPORTING SENIOR				GRADE	US
. NAME OF REPORTING SENIOR				GRADE	us
NAME OF REPORTING SENIOR DUTY ASSIGNMENT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR OFFICER'S NE	XT DUTY ASSIGNMENT:	YES NO			
NAME OF REPORTING SENIOR DUTY ASSIGNMENT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR OFFICER'S NE	XT DUTY ASSIGNMENT: REPORT:		If YES in CMC, attac	a), (b), or (c), and a repor h separate statement of na	t has NOT been submitted to th
DURING THE PERIOD COVERED BY THIS (a) Has the work of this officement of the commendatory way? (b) Has the work of this officement of the commendatory way?	REPORT: dicer been reported on in a er been reported adversely?		If YES in CMC, attac	a), (b), or (c), and a repor h separate statement of na	USUS
DURING THE PERIOD COVERED BY THIS (a) Has the work of this off commendatory way?	REPORT: dicer been reported on in a er been reported adversely?		If YES in CMC, attac	a), (b), or (c), and a repor h separate statement of na	t has NOT been submitted to th

SECTION C (To be completed in son a Approved Considering the officer reported sional abilities are known to yo appropriate spaces below.	FOR RE	rageting senion 2002/01 Please 2002/01 mparison with all	1/10 : CIA- l other office estimate of	-RDP78-03	3578A0 ne grade ny markin	00700100 whose profe	e 8-800	UNSATISFACTORY	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	Η	OUTSTANDING
appropriate spaces below.	- porsona	, , ,			•	- -	OBS	TIS		AGE	E A	"	T.A.
16. PERFORMANCE OF DUTY (Based of							NO.	UNSA	BELOW	AVERAGE	ABOVE	EXCELLENT	опте
(a) REGULAR DUTIES													
(b) ADDITIONAL DUTIES													
(c) ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES													
(d) HANDLING OFFICERS													
(e) HANDLING ENLISTED PERSONNEL										<u> </u>			
(f) TRAINING PERSONNEL													
	4	. 4 6						\vdash	-				
(g) TACTICAL HANDLING OF TROOPS (Uni	a ppropriate	e to officer s grade)						<u> </u>	!	I	L	Ц	
17. TO WHAT DEGREE HAS HE EXHIBIT	TED THE FOL	LLOWING?											
(a) ENDURANCE (Physical and mental abi	lity for carry	ing on under faliguing	conditions)										
(b) PERSONAL APPEARANCE (The trait of h		····		uniform or civil	ian attire)								
(c) MILITARY PRESENCE (The quality of m							1			<u> </u>	Ì		
(d) ATTENTION TO DUTY (Industry; the tr							\dashv						
<u> </u>					/			-				-	
(e) COOPERATION (The faculty of working										├	 		
(f) INITIATIVE (The trait of taking necessor	ary or approp	priate action on own res	ponsibility)					<u> </u>	-	-	 		
(g) JUDGMENT (The ability to think clearly								<u> </u>			<u> </u>		
(h) PRESENCE OF MIND (The ability to thi	nk and act p	romptly and effectively	in an unexpected	emergency or u	nder great st	rain)		-	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ		
(i) FORCE (The faculty of carrying out w	oith energy an	nd resolution that which	is believed to be	reasonable, righ	t or duty)					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
(j) LEADERSHIP (The capacity to direct, c	control, and in	nfluence others and still	l maintain high :	norale)				<u> </u>				<u> </u>	
(k) LOYALTY (The quality of rendering for	aithful and w	rilling service, and unsw	verving allegiance	under any and	all circumst	ances)							
(I) PERSONAL RELATIONS (Faculty for est								i					
(m) ECONOMY IN MANAGEMENT (Effective													
					ada tama	and havring	this off	ioor	unde	r 1/0	use c	omm	and
	onniremer	nto of corvice in t	war. Indicat	e vour attit	uae towa	ira naving	uns on	icer	unue	31 yu	ui c	Omm	anu.
18. Considering the possible re Would you—	equiremen	its of service in	war, marcar	, , o									
Would you—	PREI	FER NOT HAVE?	BE WIL	LING VET		BE GLAD TO HAVE?					PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you—	PREI	FER NOT HAVE?	BE WIL	LING VET		BE GLAD TO HAVE?	 propria		ace l	below	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you— NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the second secon	PREI	FER NOT HAVE?	BE WIL	LING /E7 vice'' by ma		BE GLAD TO HAVE?			ace l	below	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you— NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the second secon	PREI TO I	fer not HAVE? r's ''General Valu	BE WILL	LING /E7 vice'' by ma	rking "X	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	 propria		ace l	below	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you— NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the second secon	PREI TO I	fer not HAVE? r's ''General Valu	BE WILL	LING /E7 vice'' by ma	rking "X	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	 propria		ace l	below	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the NOT OBSERVED UNSATISTICATION OF THE NOT OBSERVED UNSAT	this officer	FER NOT HAVE? r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	propria CELLENT	te sp	ace I	below outst/	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you— NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the second secon	this officer	FER NOT HAVE? r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	propria CELLENT	te sp	ace I	below outst/	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the state of th	this officer	FER NOT HAVE? r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	propria CELLENT	te sp	ace I	below outst/	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the state of th	this officer	FER NOT HAVE? r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	propria CELLENT	te sp	ace I	below outst/	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the state of th	this officer	FER NOT HAVE? r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	propria CELLENT	te sp	ace I	below outst/	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the state of th	this officer	FER NOT HAVE? r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	propria CELLENT	te sp	ace I	below outst/	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the state of th	this officer	FER NOT HAVE? r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	propria CELLENT	te sp	ace I	below outst/	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the NOT OBSERVED UNSATISTICATION D (To be completed by report)	this officer	FER NOT HAVE? r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	propria CELLENT	te sp	ace I	below outst/	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the state of th	this officer	FER NOT HAVE? r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	propria CELLENT	te sp	ace I	below outst/	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the state of th	this officer	FER NOT HAVE? r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	propria CELLENT	te sp	ace I	below outst/	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the NOT OBSERVED UNSATISTICATION D (To be completed by report)	this officer	FER NOT HAVE? r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	propria CELLENT	te sp	ace I	below outst/	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the state of th	this officer	FER NOT HAVE? r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	propria CELLENT	te sp	ace I	below outst/	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the state of th	this officer	FER NOT HAVE? r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE	BE GLAD TO HAVE?	propria CELLENT	te sp	ace I	below outst/	PAR' DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the NOT OBSERVED UNSATIS NOT OBSERVED UNSATIS This space must not be less than the Note of th	this officer	r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE pen and ink.) Reco	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA	vice" by ma	rking "X	BE GLAD TO HAVE? "in the ap E EX Fessional character	propria CELLENT Er of the of	There re	ported	below outst/	PART DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of a not observed UNSATIS SECTION D (To be completed by report { This space must not be le} SECTION E (To be completed by the	this officer sfactory ling senior in ft blank.)	r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE pen and ink.) Recor	BE WILL TO HAN the to the Ser AVERA To this space of	vice" by ma GE A concise appraise	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE al of the proj	BE GLAD TO HAVE? "in the ap E EX "essional character apleted by officer	propria CELLENT Trof the of	ncer re	ported	below outsti	PART DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY HAVE?
NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the NOT OBSERVED UNSATISTIC UNSAT	this officer stactory ling senior in ft blank.)	r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE pen and ink.) Recon	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA rd in this space of	vice" by ma GE A concise appraise	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE al of the proj	BE GLAD TO HAVE? "in the ap E EX Fessional character	propria CELLENT Trof the of	ncer re	ported	below outsti	PART DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY HAVE?
Would you NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of a not observed UNSATIS SECTION D (To be completed by report { This space must not be le} SECTION E (To be completed by the	this officer stactory ling senior in ft blank.)	r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE pen and ink.) Recon	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA rd in this space of	vice" by ma GE A concise appraise	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE al of the proj	BE GLAD TO HAVE? "in the ap E EX "essional character apleted by officer	propria CELLENT Trof the of	te sp	ace 1	d on.	PART DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY HAVE?
NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the NOT OBSERVED UNSATISTIC UNSAT	this officer stactory ling senior in ft blank.)	r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE pen and ink.) Recon	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA rd in this space of	vice" by ma GE A concise appraise	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE al of the proj	BE GLAD TO HAVE? "in the ap E EX "essional character apleted by officer	propria CELLENT Trof the of	te sp	ace 1	d on.	PART DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY HAVE?
NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the NOT OBSERVED UNSATISTIC UNSAT	this officer stactory ling senior in ft blank.)	r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE pen and ink.) Recon	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA rd in this space of	vice" by ma GE A concise appraise	rking "X BOVE AVERAGE al of the proj	BE GLAD TO HAVE? "in the ap E EX "essional character apleted by officer	propria CELLENT Trof the of	te sp	ace 1	d on.	PART DESI	TICULAI IRE TO	RLY HAVE?
NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of some state of the state of	this officers statement of the senior in the	r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE pen and Ink.) Reco	BE WILL TO HAN the to the Ser AVERA To in this space of	vice" by ma GE A concise appraise	rking "X BOVE AVERAS at of the proj	BE GLAD TO HAVE? "in the ap E EX "essional character apleted by officer completed rep	propria cellent rofthe of	te sp	ace 1	d on.	PART V. ANDING CONE) MENT	TICULAI	KE
NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the NOT OBSERVED UNSATISTIC UNSAT	this officers statement of the senior in the	r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE pen and Ink.) Reco	BE WILL TO HAN te to the Ser AVERA rd in this space of	vice" by ma GE A concise appraise	rking "X BOVE AVERAS at of the proj	BE GLAD TO HAVE? "in the ap E EX "essional character apleted by officer	propria cellent rofthe of	te sp	ace 1	d on.	PART V. ANDING CONE) MENT	TICULAI IRE TO	KE
NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of a NOT OBSERVED UNSATIS SECTION D (To be completed by report (This space must not be legal to be completed by the I CERTIFY that to the best of made hereon are true and with	this officer stactory ling senior in state the senior in state the senior in the seni	r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE pen and Ink.) Reco	BE WILL TO HAN the to the Ser AVERA To in this space of	vice" by ma GE A concise appraise	rking "X BOVE AVERAS at of the proj	BE GLAD TO HAVE? "in the ap E EX "essional character apleted by officer completed rep	propria cellent rofthe of	te sp	ace 1	d on.	PART V. ANDING CONE) MENT	TICULAI	KE
NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of the NOT OBSERVED UNSATISTIC UND UNSATISTIC UNSATISTIC UND UNSATISTIC UND UNSATISTIC UNSATISTIC UNSATISTIC UNSA	this officer stactory ling senior in state the senior in state the senior in the seni	r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE pen and Ink.) Reco	BE WILL TO HAN the to the Ser AVERA To in this space of	vice" by ma GE A concise appraise	rking "X BOVE AVERAS at of the proj	BE GLAD TO HAVE? "In the ap E EX Sessional character repleted by officer completed representations of the sessional character repleted by officer reports of the sessional character.	propria cellent rofthe of	te sp	ace 1	d on.	PART V. ANDING CONE) MENT	TICULAI IRE TO MAI	KE
NOT OBSERVED 19. Indicate your estimate of some state of the state of	this officer stactory ling senior in state the senior in state the senior in the seni	r's "General Valu BELOW AVERAGE pen and Ink.) Reco	BE WILL TO HAN the to the Ser AVERA To in this space of	vice" by ma GE A concise appraise	rking "X BOVE AVERAS at of the proj	BE GLAD TO HAVE? "In the ap E EX Sessional character repleted by officer completed representations of the sessional character repleted by officer reports of the sessional character.	propria cellent reported port.	te sp	ace 1	d on.	PART DESI	TICULAI IRE TO MAI	KE

NONCOMMISSIONED OFFICER FITNESS REPORT

U.S. MARINE CORPS NAVMC 10233-PD (REV. 8-62)

		(1427. 0-	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
(SUPERSEDES	0 50	EDITION	MARKET	*****							
(SOI EKSEDES	7-30	EDITION	MUICH	WILL	BE	USED.	ALL	OTHER	FDITIONS	ADE	ORSOI ETEN
											ODSOLETE

			SECTI	ON A.		
EMBOSSED PL	LATE IMPRESSION (N	ame, Grade, Service No., MOS's)		EMBOSSED PLATE IMPRE	SSION (Organization)	
1. NAME	(Last)	(First)		(Middle initial)	GRADE	SERVICE NO.
				•	USMC_	January 110.
*2. ORGANIZ	ATION					
*3. PRIMARY	MOS				ADDITIONAL MOS'S	
4. OCCASION	FOR THIS REPORT (Check appropriate box)		 		
		- Thankeren of November				
SEMIA	NNUAL	TRANSFER OF NONCOMMISSIG OFFICER REPORTED ON (Ente- station to which detached, below	r unit or	CHANGE O	PF REPORTING OFFICER	OTHER (Explain below)
5 PERIOD CO	VERED: FROM (Day,					
LOD CO	TERED: FROM (Day,	monun, year) 10 (Day,	month, year)		MONTHS	
A PERIODS O	E NONAVADABUS	(30 DAYS OR MORE) (Explain)				
o. ILKIODS O	T NONAVAILABILITY	(30 DAYS OR MORE) (Explain)				
7 DUTY ACCU	ON 11 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15					
7. DUIT ASSI	GNMENTS DURING PE	ERIOD COVERED: A. REGULAR (Dates,	descriptive title,	and duty MOS)		
B. ADDITIO	NAL (Descriptive title	and number of months)				
B. WIFE'S ADD	DRESS					
. NAME, AGE	, RELATIONSHIP OF I	DEPENDENTS REQUIRING TRANSPORTA	TION			
O. NONCOMM	SIONED OFFICER'S	PREFERENCE FOR NEXT ASSIGNMENT	(1st choice)			
(2d choice)			11	(3d choice)		
			[***/		
IGNATURE (N	oncommissioned officer i	reported on)				DATE
						DAIE
		SECTION 2	(To be come	leted by reporting officer	-)	
1. NAME AND	GRADE OF REPORTI	NG OFFICER		telea by reporting officer	7	
			ľ	SOLL MOSIGIAMENT		
			us			
3. RECOMMENI	DATIONS FOR NONC	OMMISSIONED OFFICER'S NEXT DUTY				
4. DURING TH	E PERIOD COVERED E	BY THIS REPORT:				
			YES	NO	If YES in (a) or (b), an mitted to the CMC attack	d a report has NOT been sub- b separate statement of nature
repo	rted on in a con	s noncommissioned officer bumendatory way?	been	1 1	ana attenaant circumstani	es. If a report has been sub-
(b) Was	this noncommis	ssioned officer the subject of	anv		mitted to the CMC, referen	ice such report below:
disci recor	punary action (that should be included on	his \square	L_J		
A. ENTRIES O	N THIS REPORT ARE	BASED ON (Check appropriate box)			158 TO BE COUNTED	
	T AND CLOSE OBSERVATIO		uc -	- INFREQUENT ASSESSMENT	I SB. TO BE COMPLETED C	ON ORGANIZED RESERVE NCO
OF THIS NCO'S		FREQUENT OBSERVATION OF THIS NCO'S WORK	M)	INFREQUENT OBSERVATIONS OF THIS NCO'S WORK	ATTENDED	OE COURNING
If embossed				HCO J WURK	ATTENDED	OFSCHEDULED DRILLS

	OIA DDD70 00570 4000700	000	100	10	7			
SECTION C. (To be compupproving transfer the second	CIA-RDP/8-035/8A000/00	OBSERVED	JUD.	9 ÿ		ğ		10
SECTION C. (To be comparisoned from Repasse 2002/01/10: CIA-RDP78-03578A000700 Considering the noncommissioned officer reported on in comparison with all other noncommissioned officers of the same grade whose professional abilities are known to you personally, indicate your estimate of this noncommissioned officer by marking "X" in the appropriate spaces below.					100	E AVERAGE	EN I	OUTSTANDING
16. PERFORMANCE OF DUTY (Based on fact)	Ď	UNSATISFACT	BELOW	AVERAGE	ABOVE	EXCELLENT	OUTS	
(a) REGULAR DUTIES								
(b) ADDITIONAL DUTIES	The state of the s							
(c) ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES	——————————————————————————————————————			<u> </u>				
(d) HANDLING ENLISTED PERSONNEL				_				
(e) TRAINING PERSONNEL								
(f) TACTICAL HANDLING OF TROOPS (Unit appropriate to noncommissioned officer's rank)								
17. TO WHAT DEGREE HAS HE EXHIBITED THE FOLLOWING?								
(a) ENDURANCE (Physical and mental ability for carrying on under fatiguing conditions)							1	
(b) PERSONAL APPEARANCE (The trait of habitually appearing neat, smart, and well-groome	d in uniform or civilian attire)				-			
(c) MILITARY PRESENCE (The quality of maintaining appropriate dignity and soldierly bearing								
(d) ATTENTION TO DUTY (Industry; the trait of working thoroughly and conscientiously))							
(e) COOPERATION (The faculty of working in harmony with others, military and civilian)	- 100	\longrightarrow						
(f) INITIATIVE (The trait of taking necessary or appropriate action on own responsibility)								
(g) JUDGMENT (The ability to think clearly and arrive at logical conclusions)								
(h) PRESENCE OF MIND (The ability to think and act promptly and effectively in an unexpect								
(i) FORCE (The faculty of carrying out with energy and resolution that which is believed to be								
(j) LEADERSHIP (The capacity to direct, control, and influence others and still maintain high n								
(k) LOYALTY (The quality of rendering faithful and willing service, and unswerving allegiance								
(1) PERSONAL RELATIONS (Faculty for establishing and maintaining cordial relations with m	litary and civilian associates)					į		
(m) ECONOMY (Effective utilization of men, money and materials)								
18. Considering the possible requirements of service in war, indication your command.	te your attitude toward having this	non	com	missi	oned	offic	er u	nder
Would you— NOT OBSERVED	PREFER NOT BE WILLING TO HAVE?		GLAD		Г		TICULA	
19. (a) Indicate your estimate of this noncommissioned officer's			HAVE?	'X''	in th	e abi	IRE TO	HAVE!
box:			8	1			_	1
NOT OBSERVED UNSATISFACTORY BELOW AVERAGE AVE	RAGE ABOVE AVERAGE EXC	ELLENT	r	Щ,	OUTS	TANDING	G	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>			╝.		╛
(b) Show distribution of all Item 19(a) markings awarded	noncommissioned officers of his gra	de f	or th	nis re	port	ing o	occas	ion:
			<u> </u>					
SECTION D. (To be completed by reporting senior in pen and ink. This spen noncommissioned officer's performance and qualifications.)	ice may be used to record additional inform	ration	that	wou	ld fur	ther c	lassif	y the
noncommissionea officer's performance and qualifications.)								
SECTION E. (To be completed by the reporting Senior)	SECTION F. (To be completed when requ	ired)						
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,			(Chec	k one)		
I CERTIFY that to the best of my knowledge and belief all entries made hereon are true and without prejudice and partiality.	I have seen this completed report.		\Box	I HA	E NO	STATEMI	ENT TO	MAKE
made nercon are true and without prejudice and pathanty.			\Box	I HA	VE ATT	ACHED A	CTATE	MENT
			ш	1 114	,	CINLD A	JIMIE	MENI
}								
(Signature of reporting senior) (Date)	(Signature of noncommissioned officer rep	orted o	on)		_	(L	Date)	
SECTION G. (To be com	pleted by reviewing officer)		_			, -		
NAME AND GRADE OF REVIEWING OFFICER	DUTY ASSIGNMENT							